

[COMMITTEE PRINT]

NOTICE: This is a draft only, subject to change until approved by the full Committee

Calendar No. 000

114TH CONGRESS } 1st Session }	SENATE	{ REPORT 114-000
-----------------------------------	--------	------------------------

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2016

JUNE —, 2015.—Ordered to be printed

Mr. COCHRAN, from the Committee on Appropriations,
submitted the following

REPORT

[To accompany S. 0000]

The Committee on Appropriations reports the bill (S. 0000) making appropriations for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2016, and for other purposes, reports favorably thereon and recommends that the bill do pass.

New obligatory authority

Total of bill as reported to the Senate	\$569,841,694,000
Amount of 2015 appropriations	547,753,288,000
Amount of 2016 budget estimate	571,719,613,000
Bill as recommended to Senate compared to—	
2015 appropriations	+ 22,088,406,000
2016 budget estimate	– 1,877,919,000

CONTENTS

Background:	Page
Purpose of the Bill	00
Hearings	00
Summary of the Bill	00
Definition of Program, Project and Activity	00
Reprogramming Guidance	00
Committee Initiatives	00
Title I:	
Military Personnel:	
Military Personnel Overview	00
Military Personnel, Army	00
Military Personnel, Navy	00
Military Personnel, Marine Corps	00
Military Personnel, Air Force	00
Reserve Personnel, Army	00
Reserve Personnel, Navy	00
Reserve Personnel, Marine Corps	00
Reserve Personnel, Air Force	00
National Guard Personnel, Army	00
National Guard Personnel, Air Force	00
Title II:	
Operation and Maintenance:	
Operation and Maintenance, Army	00
Operation and Maintenance, Navy	00
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps	00
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force	00
Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide	00
Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve	00
Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve	00
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve	00
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve	00
Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard	00
Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard	00
U.S. Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces	00
Environmental Restoration, Army	00
Environmental Restoration, Navy	00
Environmental Restoration, Air Force	00
Environmental Restoration, Defense-Wide	00
Environmental Restoration, Formerly Used Defense Sites	00
Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster, and Civic Aid	00
Cooperative Threat Reduction Account	00
Department of Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund	00
Title III:	
Procurement:	
Aircraft Procurement, Army	00
Missile Procurement, Army	00
Procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army	00
Procurement of Ammunition, Army	00
Other Procurement, Army	00
Aircraft Procurement, Navy	00
Weapons Procurement, Navy	00
Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps	00
Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy	00
Other Procurement, Navy	00
Procurement, Marine Corps	00
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force	00
Missile Procurement, Air Force	00
Space Procurement, Air Force	00
Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force	00
Other Procurement, Air Force	00
Procurement, Defense-Wide	00
Defense Production Act Purchases	00

Title IV:	
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation:	
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army	00
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy	00
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force	00
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide	00
Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense	00
Title V:	
Revolving and Management Funds:	
Defense Working Capital Funds	00
National Defense Sealift Fund	00
Title VI:	
Other Department of Defense Programs:	
Defense Health Program	00
Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense	00
Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense	00
Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund	00
Joint Urgent Operational Needs Fund	00
Office of the Inspector General	00
Title VII:	
Related Agencies:	
Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System Fund	00
Intelligence Community Management Account	00
Title VIII: General Provisions	
Title IX: Overseas Contingency Operations:	
Department of Defense—Military	00
Military Personnel:	
Military Personnel, Army	00
Military Personnel, Navy	00
Military Personnel, Marine Corps	00
Military Personnel, Air Force	00
Reserve Personnel, Army	00
Reserve Personnel, Navy	00
Reserve Personnel, Marine Corps	00
Reserve Personnel, Air Force	00
National Guard Personnel, Army	00
National Guard Personnel, Air Force	00
Operation and Maintenance:	
Operation and Maintenance, Army	00
Operation and Maintenance, Navy	00
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps	00
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force	00
Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide	00
Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve	00
Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve	00
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve	00
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve	00
Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard	00
Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard	00
Afghanistan Security Forces Fund	00
Iraq Train and Equip Fund	00
Syria Train and Equip Fund	00
Counterterrorism Partnerships Fund	00
European Reassurance Initiative	00
Procurement:	
Aircraft Procurement, Army	00
Missile Procurement, Army	00
Procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army	00
Procurement of Ammunition, Army	00
Other Procurement, Army	00
Aircraft Procurement, Navy	00
Weapons Procurement, Navy	00
Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps	00
Other Procurement, Navy	00
Procurement, Marine Corps	00
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force	00
Missile Procurement, Air Force	00

Title IX—Continued	
Procurement—Continued	
Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force	00
Other Procurement, Air Force	00
Procurement, Defense-Wide	00
National Guard and Reserve Equipment	00
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation:	
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army	00
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy	00
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide	00
Revolving and Management Funds: Defense Working Capital Funds	00
Other Department of Defense Programs:	
Defense Health Program	00
Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense	00
Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund	00
Joint Urgent Operational Needs Fund	00
Office of the Inspector General	00
General Provisions—This Title	00
Compliance With Paragraph 7, Rule XVI of the Standing Rules of the Senate	00
Compliance With Paragraph 12, Rule XXVI of the Standing Rules of the Senate	00
Budgetary Impact of Bill	00
Comparative Statement of Budget Authority	00

BACKGROUND

PURPOSE OF THE BILL

This bill makes appropriations for the military functions of the Department of Defense for the period October 1, 2015, through September 30, 2016. Functional areas include the pay, allowances, and support of military personnel, operation and maintenance of the forces, procurement of equipment and systems, and research, development, test and evaluation. Appropriations for foreign military assistance, military construction, family housing, nuclear weapons programs, and civil defense are provided in other bills.

HEARINGS

The Appropriations Subcommittee on Defense began hearings on March 5, 2015, and concluded them on June 18, 2015, after 11 separate sessions. The subcommittee heard testimony from representatives of the Department of Defense.

SUMMARY OF THE BILL

The Committee recommendation of \$569,841,694,000 includes funding to develop, maintain, and equip the military forces of the United States and for other purposes in nonemergency appropriations.

The fiscal year 2016 budget request for activities funded in the Department of Defense appropriations bill totals \$571,719,613,000 in new budget authority, including \$50,949,561,000 in contingency funding for the Department of Defense and \$514,000,000 in mandatory spending.

In fiscal year 2015, the Congress appropriated \$547,753,288,000 for activities funded in this bill. This amount includes \$483,705,993,000 in base appropriations, \$112,000,000 in emergency appropriations and \$63,935,295,000 in overseas contingency operations appropriations. The Committee recommends that funds requested for Coast Guard overseas contingency operations be appropriated directly to the Department of Homeland Security.

The Committee recommendation in this bill is \$22,088,406,000 above the amount provided in fiscal year 2015 and \$1,877,919,000 below the amount requested for fiscal year 2016.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

The following table displays the recommendations for each title:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Fiscal year 2015 enacted	Fiscal year 2016 estimate	Committee recommendation
Title I—Military Personnel	128,004,618	130,491,227	129,442,113
Title II—Operation and Maintenance	161,655,679	176,517,228	139,330,199
Title III—Procurement	93,835,072	106,914,372	109,813,734
Title IV—Research, development, test and evaluation	63,713,275	69,784,963	70,324,687
Title V—Revolving and management funds	2,134,480	1,786,732	1,931,732
Title VI—Other Department of Defense programs	34,144,557	34,230,507	34,293,892
Title VII—Related agencies	1,021,600	1,044,023	1,027,923
Title VIII—General provisions (net)	-803,288	1,000	-3,190,838

[In thousands of dollars]

	Fiscal year 2015 enacted	Fiscal year 2016 estimate	Committee recommendation
Title IX—Overseas Contingency Operations	63,935,295	50,949,561	86,868,252
Title X—Ebola Response and Preparedness, Department of De- fense	112,000		
Net grand total	547,753,288	571,719,613	569,841,694
Total discretionary (incl. scorekeeping adjustments)	554,193,988	577,877,613	575,999,694

The Committee has displayed recommended adjustments in tables presented under each appropriation account.

These adjustments reflect the following Committee actions: elimination of funds requested for programs which are lower priority, duplicative, or not supported by firm requirements in out-year development or procurement appropriations; deletion of excess funds based on program delays or slow execution; addition of funds to reflect congressional priorities and to rectify shortfalls in the budget estimate; and implementation of recommendations in S. 1376, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2016, as reported.

The Committee directs that the funding increases outlined in these tables shall be provided only for the specific purposes indicated in the table.

CLASSIFIED PROGRAM ADJUSTMENTS

The Committee recommends adjustments to certain classified programs, as explained in the classified annex to the Committee's report.

DEFINITION OF PROGRAM, PROJECT AND ACTIVITY

For the purposes of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 (Public Law 99-177), as amended by the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Reaffirmation Act of 1987 (Public Law 100-119), and by the Budget Enforcement Act of 1990 (Public Law 101-508), the terms "program, project, and activity" for appropriations contained in this act shall be defined as the most specific level of budget items identified in the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2016, the related classified annexes and Committee reports, and P-1 and R-1 budget justification documents as subsequently modified by congressional action.

The following exception to the above definition shall apply: the military personnel and the operation and maintenance accounts, for which the term "program, project, and activity" is defined as the appropriations accounts contained in the Department of Defense Appropriations Act.

At the time the President submits the budget request for fiscal year 2017, the Secretary of Defense is directed to transmit to the congressional defense committees budget justification documents to be known as the "M-1" and "O-1" which shall identify, at the budget activity, activity group, and sub-activity group level, the amounts requested by the President to be appropriated to the Department of Defense for military personnel and operation and

maintenance in any budget request, or amended budget request, for fiscal year 2017.

REPROGRAMMING GUIDANCE

The Secretary of Defense is directed to continue to follow the reprogramming guidance for acquisition accounts as specified in the report accompanying the House version of the Department of Defense appropriations bill for fiscal year 2008 (House Report 110-279). For operation and maintenance accounts, the Secretary of Defense shall continue to follow the reprogramming guidelines specified in the conference report accompanying H.R. 3222, the Department of Defense Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 2008. The dollar threshold for reprogramming funds shall remain at \$10,000,000 for military personnel; \$15,000,000 for operation and maintenance; \$20,000,000 for procurement; and \$10,000,000 for research, development, test and evaluation.

Also, the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) is directed to continue to provide the congressional defense committees annual DD Form 1416 reports for titles I and II and quarterly, spreadsheet-based DD Form 1416 reports for service and defense-wide accounts in titles III and IV of this act. Reports for titles III and IV shall comply with guidance specified in the explanatory statement accompanying the Department of Defense Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 2006. The Department shall continue to follow the limitation that prior approval reprogrammings are set at either the specified dollar threshold or 20 percent of the procurement or research, development, test and evaluation line, whichever is less. These thresholds are cumulative from the base for reprogramming value as modified by any adjustments. Therefore, if the combined value of transfers into or out of a military personnel (M-1), an operation and maintenance (O-1), a procurement (P-1), or a research, development, test and evaluation (R-1) line exceeds the identified threshold, the Secretary of Defense must submit a prior approval reprogramming to the congressional defense committees. In addition, guidelines on the application of prior approval reprogramming procedures for congressional special interest items are established elsewhere in this report.

COMMITTEE INITIATIVES

The Committee has included funding above the President's budget request for several programmatic initiatives which the Committee believes are of inherent value for national defense. In several cases, funds are restored for programs which were included in previous Department of Defense budget requests, and for programs that the Committee believes are necessary to improve the U.S. defense posture even though they have not been included under the request formulated by the Department of Defense.

For instance, the Committee's hearings with the military Services and the Department's leadership highlighted the risk to maintaining the U.S. technological edge under sequester-level budgets. Therefore, the Committee recommends increases to sustain U.S. technological superiority such as fifth generation aircraft, the Technology Offset Initiative, Cyber Vulnerability Assessments and the

Unmanned Carrier-Launched Airborne Surveillance and Strike System [UCLASS]. In addition, the Committee recommends funding to increase readiness, sustain U.S. force structure and maintain our industrial base. Finally, the Committee recommends increases in basic research, alternative energy and science and technology funding, which are the foundation for enhancing future technological superiority. The Committee also recommends funding for programs that are chronically underfunded, such as the Defense Production Act and National Guard and Reserve equipment purchases.

The Committee directs that funds for these initiatives are to be competitively awarded or provided to programs that have received competitive awards in the past.

NAVY MODERNIZATION PRIORITIES

The fiscal year 2016 President's budget requests \$17,885,916,000 for Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy, an increase of \$1,927,456,000 over amounts appropriated for fiscal year 2015. While a significant portion of this increase can be attributed to programs of record as they mature and progress through the acquisition cycle, such as the *Ohio* Class Replacement program, the Presidential helicopter replacement program and the Marine Corps' Amphibious Combat Vehicle program, the Committee notes that the fiscal year 2016 request includes no less than \$151,563,000 for 13 new start programs and \$331,000,000 for several programs that the Navy proposes to initiate via directive letters, rapid fielding efforts or by adding scope to previously approved efforts. These initiatives are expected to require at least \$2,700,000,000 for additional development over the next 5 years. The Committee notes that in some instances, programs initiated outside of the regular acquisition process have replaced previously planned programs of record that would have been subject to a full vetting of requirements and competitive acquisitions. Further, the Committee notes that the Navy is investing modernization funds in programs that have only recently transitioned from development into production, such as the MQ-4C Triton Unmanned Aerial Vehicle, the E-2D Hawkeye Airborne Early Warning Aircraft, or the P-8 Poseidon Multi-mission Maritime Aircraft, while neglecting to invest in the modernization of existing and high-demand fleet assets, such as *Ticonderoga* class guided missile cruisers, or in the acquisition of self-described current and future warfighter needs, such as tactical fighter aircraft.

The Committee is concerned that the fiscal year 2016 budget request continues a trend by the Navy to submit budgets to the Congress that accept undue risk in programs deemed by the Navy to be critical, with the expectation that the congressional appropriations committees will fund these programs within its budget allocation for the Department of Defense. For instance, in fiscal year 2016, the Navy did not fund the continued procurement of tactical fighter aircraft, despite awareness of readiness shortfalls. In fiscal year 2015, the Navy removed all funds required to retain and modernize CVN 73, USS *George Washington*, from its budget not only in clear contravention of Public Law, but also in direct conflict with Navy leadership priorities identified to the Committee. In fiscal year 2013, the Navy submitted a budget that removed funding for

a *Virginia* class submarine previously included in a ten-ship multi-year procurement plan.

As a result of these budgetary maneuvers, the Committee must question whether budget requests from the Navy accurately reflect the service's most important priorities. This is particularly concerning with major acquisitions looming on the horizon, most significantly the *Ohio* Class replacement program. The *Ohio* Class replacement is an indispensable component of the Nation's nuclear triad, but the program's acquisition costs are expected to exceed \$135,000,000,000, with the first ship of the class scheduled for procurement in fiscal year 2021. The Navy has raised concerns about affordability of this ship within its total obligational authority, and has endorsed proposals for funding mechanisms that appear to be unprecedented. The Committee notes that the Department of Defense has not requested, nor appears to be considering, alternative funding mechanisms for other acquisition programs estimated to be of equal or greater cost: the ballistic missile defense system and the F-35 Joint Strike Fighter.

The Committee recommendation includes \$1,390,663,000 in the Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy appropriation for continued development of the *Ohio* Class replacement, as requested by the Navy. The Committee recommendation does not include any new transfer authority or similar proposal in relation to alternative funding mechanisms for the *Ohio* Class replacement. The Committee believes that support for shipbuilding programs, including the *Ohio* Class replacement, is an imperative for the future of the Navy, as evidenced by the recommendation's significant funding increases within the Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy appropriation. The Committee welcomes an ongoing dialogue with the Department of the Navy and the Department of Defense, as well as the other services, on prioritizing funding for modernization programs which are indispensable to the defense of this Nation.

JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER [JSF]

Joint Strike Fighter [JSF] Production.—The Committee remains encouraged by many aspects of the JSF program. Thirty-six aircraft were delivered in 2014 as planned. The Marine Corps is scheduled to meet its Initial Operational Capability goal in 2015. The unit prices for each variant, the Air Force F-35A, the Marine Corps F-35B, and the Navy F-35C, continue to decline. Finally, the Department prudently responded to the engine failure last year and the temporary restrictions on flying have been lifted. Given these developments, the services' unfunded priority lists, and to further accelerate unit cost decreases, the Committee recommends adding \$846,000,000 for six additional Marine Corps aircraft and \$392,000,000 for four additional Air Force aircraft.

The Committee notes that while the unit costs for each variant are decreasing, the Department is still budgeting at a higher unit cost. For example, the Air Force budgets \$100,500,000 per aircraft, but the Selected Acquisition Report [SAR] specifies a target price of approximately \$98,000,000. Similar trends are apparent in the Navy and Marine Corps budgets as well. As a result, the Committee recommends reducing the Air Force request by

\$114,400,000; the Marine Corps request by \$20,700,000, and the Navy request by \$14,400,000.

Congress appropriated \$483,200,000, as requested, for annualized sustainment for fiscal year 2014 and 2015 in the services' procurement accounts. The contracts have been delayed and the funding has not been awarded. In addition, the services are requesting \$566,000,000 in fiscal year 2016 for annualized sustainment with planned award at the end of the fiscal year. The Committee is concerned with these large unobligated balances and recommends a reduction of \$380,000,000.

Joint Strike Fighter [JSF] Sustainment.—The Committee remains concerned with the life cycle or operations and support [O&S] costs of the JSF program. The JSF program is the Department of Defense's most costly and ambitious program, totaling about \$1,000,000,000,000 to operate and support over its lifetime. Officials from the Office of Secretary of Defense [OSD] have stated that the current sustainment strategy is not affordable. GAO reported that the current estimates of JSF lifecycle costs are higher than the current O&S costs of the existing aircraft the F-35 will replace.

In December 2013, the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics [OUSD(AT&L)] provided the Committee a report titled "F-35 Engineering Workforce, Supply Chain Management, Sustainment Affordability and Cost Drivers." The report was a comprehensive review of operations and sustainment and the program's current affordability. The Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense (AT&L), in conjunction with the JSF Program Executive Officer, to update this report and identify areas to better integrate O&S cost analysis into day-to-day investment decisions.

Lastly, the Committee understands that OSD's Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation [CAPE] office does not plan to complete an updated estimate of lifecycle O&S costs until 2018. OSD CAPE's most recent O&S estimate from 2014 totaled \$1,016,000,000,000, unchanged from its 2013 estimate, and exceeded the JSF Joint Program Office's [JPO] estimate by \$157,000,000,000. The Committee directs the CAPE Director to provide congressional defense committees, not later than 90 days after enactment of this act, an updated estimate of JSF O&S costs.

Joint Strike Fighter [JSF] Follow-on Development.—The Department requests \$217,272,000, an increase of 378 percent over the fiscal year 2015 enacted level, to develop the next generation technologies for the fifth generation fighter. The Committee has continued to express concern with concurrency in the existing development efforts and the lack of clearly defined requirements and cost estimates. As a result, the Committee recommends a reduction of \$126,276,000, allowing the program to continue at a moderate pace.

ISRAELI MISSILE DEFENSE PROGRAMS

The fiscal year 2016 budget request includes \$157,795,000 for Israeli missile defense programs, and the Committee has received a request from the Government of Israel to recommend \$329,800,000 above the budget request for those programs. The

Committee notes that in fiscal year 2015, Congress appropriated \$347,039,000 above the budget request for Israeli missile defense programs at the request of the Government of Israel and provided \$225,000,000 in emergency appropriations for Iron Dome interceptors in response to a follow-on request from the Government of Israel due to activities associated with OPERATION PROTECTIVE EDGE.

The Committee notes that Congress has repeatedly, with strong, bi-partisan support, appropriated funds in excess of administration requests for Israeli missile defense programs to accelerate development of capabilities within a mutually agreed-upon U.S.-Israeli framework. However, these increases have historically been on a significantly smaller scale than the requests presented to the Committee in recent years. The Committee is concerned by the apparent disconnect in the respective Governments' understanding of future Israeli missile defense requirements, U.S. and Israeli funding contributions and the role of U.S. industry to ensure fielding of Israeli missile defense capabilities, particularly in the absence of further U.S.-Israeli production agreements.

The Committee recommends an additional \$329,800,000 for Israeli missile defense programs, as requested by the Government of Israel. The Committee directs that not more than \$90,000,000 may be obligated or expended for long lead items in support of David's Sling production activities until the Secretary of Defense provides to the congressional defense committees a joint U.S.-Israeli production agreement that addresses, at a minimum, Israeli requirements, production plans, the role of U.S. and Israeli industry and the proposed use of U.S. funding. Further, the Committee directs that not more than \$15,000,000 may be obligated or expended for long lead items in support of Arrow upper tier production activities until the Secretary of Defense provides to the congressional defense committees a joint U.S.-Israeli production agreement that addresses, at a minimum, Israeli requirements, production plans, the role of U.S. and Israeli industry and the proposed use of U.S. funding. The Committee notes that the Department of Defense has established processes in place to transfer funding for long lead items for Israeli missile defense programs through an exchange of letters that ensure appropriate oversight over subject funds prior to conclusion of production agreements.

TITLE I

MILITARY PERSONNEL

Funds appropriated under this title provide the resources required for basic pay, retired pay accrual, employers' contribution for Social Security taxes, basic allowance for subsistence, basic allowance for housing, special and incentive pays, permanent change of station travel, and other personnel costs for uniformed members of the Armed Forces.

The President's fiscal year 2016 budget requests a total of \$130,491,227,000 for military personnel appropriations. This request funds an Active component end strength of 1,305,200 and a Reserve component end strength of 811,000.

SUMMARY OF COMMITTEE ACTION

The Committee recommends military personnel appropriations totaling \$129,442,113,000 for fiscal year 2016. This is \$1,049,114,000 below the budget estimate.

Committee recommended military personnel appropriations for fiscal year 2016 are summarized below:

SUMMARY OF MILITARY PERSONNEL APPROPRIATIONS

(In thousands of dollars)

Account	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
Military Personnel:			
Military Personnel, Army	41,130,748	41,038,287	- 92,461
Military Personnel, Navy	28,262,396	27,983,432	- 278,964
Military Personnel, Marine Corps	13,125,349	12,953,400	- 171,949
Military Personnel, Air Force	27,969,322	27,756,536	- 212,786
Reserve Personnel:			
Reserve Personnel, Army	4,550,974	4,418,714	- 132,260
Reserve Personnel, Navy	1,884,991	1,849,541	- 35,450
Reserve Personnel, Marine Corps	706,481	697,839	- 8,642
Reserve Personnel, Air Force	1,696,283	1,676,551	- 19,732
National Guard Personnel:			
National Guard Personnel, Army	7,942,132	7,862,122	- 80,010
National Guard Personnel, Air Force	3,222,551	3,205,691	- 16,860
Total	130,491,227	129,442,113	- 1,049,114

Committee recommended end strengths for fiscal year 2016 are summarized below:

RECOMMENDED END STRENGTH

	2015 authorization	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
Active:				
Army	490,000	475,000	475,000
Navy	323,600	329,200	329,200
Marine Corps	184,100	184,000	184,000
Air Force	310,900	317,000	317,000
Subtotal	1,308,600	1,305,200	1,305,200

RECOMMENDED END STRENGTH—Continued

	2015 authorization	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
Selected Reserve:				
Army Reserve	202,000	198,000	198,000
Navy Reserve	57,300	57,400	57,400
Marine Corps Reserve	39,200	38,900	38,900
Air Force Reserve	67,100	69,200	69,200
Army National Guard	350,200	342,000	342,000
Air National Guard	105,000	105,500	105,500
Subtotal	820,800	811,000	811,000
TOTAL	2,129,400	2,116,200	2,116,200

Committee recommended end strengths for full-time support of the Reserve and Guard for fiscal year 2016 are summarized below:

RECOMMENDED ACTIVE GUARD AND RESERVE END STRENGTH

	2015 authorization	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
Active Guard and Reserve:				
Army Reserve	16,261	16,261	16,261
Navy Reserve	9,973	9,934	9,934
Marine Corps Reserve	2,261	2,260	2,260
Air Force Reserve	2,830	3,032	3,032
Army National Guard	31,385	30,770	30,770
Air National Guard	14,704	14,748	14,748
TOTAL	77,414	77,005	77,005

MILITARY PERSONNEL SPECIAL INTEREST ITEMS

Items for which additional funds have been provided or have been specifically reduced as shown in the project level tables or in paragraphs using the phrase “only for” or “only to” in the Committee report are congressional special interest items for the purpose of the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414). Each of these items must be carried on the DD Form 1414 at the stated amount as specifically addressed in the Committee report. Below Threshold Reprogrammings may not be used to either restore or reduce funding from congressional interest items as identified on the DD Form 1414.

MILITARY PERSONNEL OVERVIEW

Reserve Component Budget Reporting.—The Committee continues its requirement for the Department to provide a semi-annual detailed report to the congressional defense committees showing transfers between subactivities within the military personnel appropriation. Reports shall be submitted not later than 30 days following the end of the second quarter and 30 days following the end of the fiscal year.

Unobligated Balances.—A Government Accountability Office analysis of past year obligation rates shows that the services continue to underexecute their military personnel accounts. Due to excess unobligated balances, the Committee recommends a total reduction of \$987,200,000 from the fiscal year 2016 military personnel accounts.

Sexual Assault.—The Committee remains concerned with the prevalence of sexual assault in the military. The Department of Defense’s Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military for fiscal year 2014 found cases of reported sexual assaults increased by 11 percent over the previous year. The Committee recognizes the efforts by the Department to strengthen its sexual assault prevention and response program and notes that, according to a study performed by a federally funded research and development corporation, the percentage of active duty females who experienced unwanted sexual contact during the past year declined from an estimated 6.1 percent in 2012 to an estimated 4.3 percent in 2014.

However, the Committee believes more needs to be done to create a culture throughout the military in which sexual assault is not tolerated. To ensure that the Department continues to make progress ending victims’ alienation during the investigation and prosecution of their case, the Committee includes an additional \$25,000,000 for implementation of the Special Victims’ Counsel Program across all the services.

Guard and Reserve Disability Compensation Processing.—The Committee is aware of planned Government Accountability Office [GAO] investigations into the disparity in wait times between Active Duty and National Guard and Reserve personnel in receiving disability compensation. The Committee urges the Department to act quickly on GAO’s recommendations and ensure that adjudication of disability claims of National Guard and Reserve members are not delayed.

Advanced Trauma Training Program for National Guard and Reserve.—The Committee recognizes the valuable support universities, hospitals, and other military partners provide by offering civilian-based emergency response trauma training to sustain medics' and medical providers' capabilities of the National Guard Enhanced Response Forces Packages [CERFP], National Guard Homeland Response Forces [NGHRF], and the Army Reserve Consequence Management Response Forces [CCMRF]. The Committee encourages the National Guard and Reserve to continue pursuing state-of-the-art trauma training with these civilian partners, thus maintaining unit medical readiness postures at optimum levels as military healthcare providers in CERFPs, NGHRFs, and CCMRFs maintain their individual skills to respond effectively to emergency incidents on the homeland. Furthermore, in order to minimize the civilian-military operational gaps in the event of a catastrophic incident, the Committee also encourages the development of enhanced preparedness medical training programs focusing on mass casualty triage, advanced disaster life support, advanced hazardous material life support, emergency dental, and psychological health by increasing civilian-based advanced trauma expertise gained through day-to-day experiences and medical research programs.

Service Academy Superintendents Report.—The Committee notes that the Institute for Defense Analyses [IDA] in December 2014 completed an exhaustive study of superintendent leadership at service academies. Among the conclusions of the study was that academy superintendents should have an option to continue military service at the conclusion of their term, and that the tour of duty at service academies should be lengthened beyond the standard 3 years.

Accordingly, the Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to exercise more frequently the waiver authority provided under Title 10, United States Code, to allow an academy superintendent to continue military service, rather than mandatory retirement. The law allows the Secretary of Defense to issue such a waiver "for good cause," and the Committee encourages the services to make continued and best use of senior officers who have distinguished themselves as academy superintendents.

Furthermore, the Committee echoes the concerns of the IDA report that 3 years may be an insufficient amount of time for a superintendent to assess an academy's current challenges, to accomplish strategic planning, to introduce needed change, to garner the support of various stake holders groups, and follow up on initiatives for lasting effects. Title 10 generally requires a minimum of a 3-year tour of duty for an academy superintendent, but does not specify a maximum. The Committee therefore encourages the service secretaries to strongly consider a longer tour of duty for academy superintendents.

In the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2014, the Committee directed the Department of Defense to report to Congress recommendations for establishing criteria for selecting Service Academy Superintendents. One recommendation was to extend the amount of time between a new Superintendent's Senate confirmation and assumption of duties from 90 to 120 days. The Committee strongly supports this recommendation, which allows incom-

ing Superintendents additional time for specialized training. The Committee will work with the committee of jurisdiction to ensure that this and other recommendations are fully instituted by the Department of Defense.

MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY

Appropriations, 2015	\$41,116,129,000
Budget estimate, 2016	41,130,748,000
Committee recommendation	41,038,287,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$41,038,287,000. This is \$92,461,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

(In thousands of dollars)

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY			
	ACTIVITY 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS			
5	BASIC PAY	6,926,625	6,922,400	- 4,225
10	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	2,172,454	2,172,454	
25	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	2,231,910	2,220,121	- 11,789
30	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	293,794	293,794	
35	INCENTIVE PAYS	81,079	81,079	
40	SPECIAL PAYS	365,582	365,582	
45	ALLOWANCES	261,520	261,520	
50	SEPARATION PAY	210,860	193,696	- 17,164
55	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	527,824	527,824	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	13,071,648	13,038,470	- 33,178
	ACTIVITY 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
60	BASIC PAY	12,668,528	12,661,845	- 6,683
65	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	3,973,957	3,973,957	
80	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	4,811,937	4,811,937	
85	INCENTIVE PAYS	92,964	92,964	
90	SPECIAL PAYS	435,630	435,630	
95	ALLOWANCES	849,699	849,699	
100	SEPARATION PAY	445,315	445,315	
105	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	969,143	969,143	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2	24,247,173	24,240,490	- 6,683
	ACTIVITY 3: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF CADETS			
110	ACADEMY CADETS	80,323	80,323	
	ACTIVITY 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
115	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	1,304,526	1,304,526	
120	SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND	514,155	514,155	
121	FAMILY SUBSISTENCE SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCE	893	893	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	1,819,574	1,819,574	
	ACTIVITY 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION			
125	ACCESSION TRAVEL	167,227	167,227	
130	TRAINING TRAVEL	143,955	143,955	
135	OPERATIONAL TRAVEL	401,690	401,690	
140	ROTATIONAL TRAVEL	714,937	714,937	
145	SEPARATION TRAVEL	304,443	304,443	
150	TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS	4,234	4,234	
155	NON-TEMPORARY STORAGE	11,333	11,333	

(In thousands of dollars)

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
160	TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE	39,186	39,186
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 5	1,787,005	1,787,005
	ACTIVITY 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS			
170	APPREHENSION OF MILITARY DESERTERS	717	717
175	INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS	1,301	1,301
180	DEATH GRATUITIES	39,000	39,000
185	UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS	201,052	201,052
195	EDUCATION BENEFITS	4,620	4,620
200	ADOPTION EXPENSES	589	589
210	TRANSPORTATION SUBSIDY	4,814	4,814
215	PARTIAL DISLOCATION ALLOWANCE	105	105
217	RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS (ROTC)	111,929	111,929
218	JUNIOR ROTC	28,140	28,140
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 6	392,267	392,267
	LESS REIMBURSABLES	-267,242	-267,242
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		-52,600	-52,600
	TOTAL, ACTIVE FORCES, ARMY	41,130,748	41,038,287	-92,461
	TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY	41,130,748	41,038,287	-92,461

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

(In thousands of dollars)

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	BA 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS			
5	Basic Pay	6,926,625	6,922,400	-4,225
	Improving funds management: Projected understrength			-4,225
25	Basic Allowance for Housing	2,231,910	2,220,121	-11,789
	Improving funds management: Excess to requirement			-11,789
50	Separation Pay	210,860	193,696	-17,164
	Improving funds management: Excess growth			-17,164
	BA 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
60	Basic Pay	12,668,528	12,661,845	-6,683
	Improving funds management: Projected understrength			-6,683
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT			
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances		-52,600	-52,600

MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY

Appropriations, 2015	\$27,453,200,000
Budget estimate, 2016	28,262,396,000
Committee recommendation	27,983,432,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$27,983,432,000. This is \$278,964,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

(In thousands of dollars)

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY			
	ACTIVITY 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS			
5	BASIC PAY	4,116,138	4,112,147	-3,991
10	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	1,290,301	1,290,301	
25	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	1,523,673	1,510,173	-13,500
30	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	172,082	172,082	
35	INCENTIVE PAYS	132,555	132,555	
40	SPECIAL PAYS	437,248	437,248	
45	ALLOWANCES	149,026	149,026	
50	SEPARATION PAY	42,355	42,355	
55	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	313,642	313,642	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	8,177,020	8,159,529	-17,491
	ACTIVITY 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
60	BASIC PAY	8,822,897	8,813,400	-9,497
65	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	2,769,263	2,769,263	
80	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	4,118,156	4,082,210	-35,946
85	INCENTIVE PAYS	104,910	104,910	
90	SPECIAL PAYS	779,276	779,276	
95	ALLOWANCES	630,672	630,672	
100	SEPARATION PAY	156,500	156,500	
105	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	674,951	674,951	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2	18,056,625	18,011,182	-45,443
	ACTIVITY 3: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF MIDSHIPMEN			
110	MIDSHIPMEN	79,242	79,242	
	ACTIVITY 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
115	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	791,044	791,044	
120	SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND	429,817	429,817	
121	FAMILY SUBSISTENCE SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCE	4	4	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	1,220,865	1,220,865	
	ACTIVITY 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION			
125	ACCESSION TRAVEL	95,649	95,649	
130	TRAINING TRAVEL	99,893	99,893	
135	OPERATIONAL TRAVEL	249,743	249,743	
140	ROTATIONAL TRAVEL	272,783	272,783	
145	SEPARATION TRAVEL	128,917	128,917	
150	TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS	30,968	30,968	
155	NON-TEMPORARY STORAGE	12,159	12,159	
160	TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE	15,800	15,800	
165	OTHER	11,509	11,509	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 5	917,421	917,421	
	ACTIVITY 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS			
170	APPREHENSION OF MILITARY DESERTERS	59	59	
175	INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS	1,370	1,370	
180	DEATH GRATUITIES	17,800	17,800	
185	UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS	97,655	97,655	
195	EDUCATION BENEFITS	19,364	19,364	
200	ADOPTION EXPENSES	265	265	
210	TRANSPORTATION SUBSIDY	4,993	4,993	
215	PARTIAL DISLOCATION ALLOWANCE	35	35	
217	RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS (ROTC)	21,269	21,269	
218	JUNIOR ROTC	14,733	14,733	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 6	177,543	177,543	
	LESS REIMBURSABLES	-366,320	-366,320	
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		-216,030	-216,030

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	TOTAL, ACTIVE FORCES, NAVY	28,262,396	27,983,432	- 278,964
	TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY	28,262,396	27,983,432	- 278,964

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	BA 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS			
5	Basic Pay	4,116,138	4,112,147	- 3,991
	Improving funds management: Projected understrength ..			- 3,991
25	Basic Allowance for Housing	1,523,673	1,510,173	- 13,500
	Improving funds management: Excess to requirement			- 13,500
	BA 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
60	Basic Pay	8,822,897	8,813,400	- 9,497
	Improving funds management: Projected understrength ..			- 9,497
80	Basic Allowance for Housing	4,118,156	4,082,210	- 35,946
	Improving funds management: Excess to requirement			- 35,946
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT			
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances		- 216,030	- 216,030

MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS

Appropriations, 2015 \$12,828,931,000
 Budget estimate, 2016 13,125,349,000
 Committee recommendation 12,953,400,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$12,953,400,000. This is \$171,949,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS			
	ACTIVITY 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS			
5	BASIC PAY	1,525,719	1,525,719
10	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	478,396	478,396
25	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	505,390	505,390
30	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	65,674	65,674
35	INCENTIVE PAYS	35,998	35,998
40	SPECIAL PAYS	6,210	6,210
45	ALLOWANCES	51,750	48,800	- 2,950
50	SEPARATION PAY	14,887	14,887
55	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	116,166	116,166
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	2,800,190	2,797,240	- 2,950
60	ACTIVITY 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
	BASIC PAY	4,831,024	4,803,837	- 27,187

(In thousands of dollars)

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
65	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	1,513,761	1,513,761
80	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	1,614,206	1,614,206
85	INCENTIVE PAYS	9,508	9,508
90	SPECIAL PAYS	116,177	116,177
95	ALLOWANCES	344,426	344,426
100	SEPARATION PAY	93,577	93,577
105	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	369,010	369,010
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2	8,891,689	8,864,502	- 27,187
	ACTIVITY 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
115	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	460,030	460,030
120	SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND	384,036	384,036
121	FAMILY SUBSISTENCE SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCE	10	10
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	844,076	844,076
	ACTIVITY 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION			
125	ACCESSION TRAVEL	62,955	62,955
130	TRAINING TRAVEL	16,913	16,913
135	OPERATIONAL TRAVEL	161,285	161,285
140	ROTATIONAL TRAVEL	118,357	118,357
145	SEPARATION TRAVEL	120,742	114,940	- 5,802
150	TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS	797	797
155	NON-TEMPORARY STORAGE	5,564	5,564
160	TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE	5,734	5,734
165	OTHER	3,002	3,002
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 5	495,349	489,547	- 5,802
	ACTIVITY 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS			
170	APPREHENSION OF MILITARY DESERTERS	505	505
175	INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS	19	19
180	DEATH GRATUITIES	13,700	13,700
185	UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS	93,598	93,598
195	EDUCATION BENEFITS	9,655	9,655
200	ADOPTION EXPENSES	84	84
210	TRANSPORTATION SUBSIDY	1,621	1,621
215	PARTIAL DISLOCATION ALLOWANCE	67	67
218	JUNIOR ROTC	3,526	3,526
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 6	122,775	122,775
	LESS REIMBURSABLES	- 28,730	- 28,730
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		- 136,010	- 136,010
	TOTAL, ACTIVE FORCES, MARINE CORPS	13,125,349	12,953,400	- 171,949
	TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS	13,125,349	12,953,400	- 171,949

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

(In thousands of dollars)

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	BA 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS			
45	Allowances	51,750	48,800	- 2,950
	Improving funds management: Unjustified growth			- 2,950
	BA 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
60	Basic Pay	4,831,024	4,803,837	- 27,187

June 9, 2015 (11:46 a.m.)

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Improving funds management: Projected understrength ...			-27,187
145	BA 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION TRAVEL			
	Separation Travel	120,742	114,940	-5,802
	Improving funds management: Unjustified growth			-5,802
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT			
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances		-136,010	-136,010

MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2015 \$27,376,462,000
 Budget estimate, 2016 27,969,322,000
 Committee recommendation 27,756,536,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$27,756,536,000.
 This is \$212,786,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE			
	ACTIVITY 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS			
5	BASIC PAY	4,766,155	4,710,104	-56,051
10	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	1,486,126	1,486,126	
25	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	1,515,936	1,515,936	
30	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	198,685	198,685	
35	INCENTIVE PAYS	235,054	235,054	
40	SPECIAL PAYS	351,827	351,827	
45	ALLOWANCES	136,390	136,390	
50	SEPARATION PAY	57,589	57,589	
55	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	363,907	363,907	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	9,111,669	9,055,618	-56,051
	ACTIVITY 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
60	BASIC PAY	8,674,231	8,666,026	-8,205
65	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	2,712,354	2,712,354	
80	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	3,634,327	3,634,327	
85	INCENTIVE PAYS	36,123	36,123	
90	SPECIAL PAYS	298,002	298,002	
95	ALLOWANCES	604,913	604,913	
100	SEPARATION PAY	126,959	126,959	
105	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	663,579	663,579	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2	16,750,488	16,742,283	-8,205
	ACTIVITY 3: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF CADETS			
110	ACADEMY CADETS	71,242	71,242	
	ACTIVITY 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
115	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	1,005,519	1,005,519	
120	SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND	134,055	134,055	
121	FAMILY SUBSISTENCE SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCE	3	3	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	1,139,577	1,139,577	

(In thousands of dollars)

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	ACTIVITY 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION			
125	ACCESSION TRAVEL	94,021	94,021
130	TRAINING TRAVEL	71,403	71,403
135	OPERATIONAL TRAVEL	276,627	276,627
140	ROTATIONAL TRAVEL	578,894	564,854	- 14,040
145	SEPARATION TRAVEL	145,515	145,515
150	TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS	8,919	8,919
155	NON-TEMPORARY STORAGE	23,607	23,607
160	TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE	35,560	35,560
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 5	1,234,546	1,220,506	- 14,040
	ACTIVITY 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS			
170	APPREHENSION OF MILITARY DESERTERS	18	18
175	INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS	2,691	2,691
180	DEATH GRATUITIES	15,100	15,100
185	UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS	52,962	52,962
195	EDUCATION BENEFITS	185	185
200	ADOPTION EXPENSES	305	305
210	TRANSPORTATION SUBSIDY	2,262	2,262
215	PARTIAL DISLOCATION ALLOWANCE	569	569
217	RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS (ROTC)	25,376	25,376
218	JUNIOR ROTC	13,338	13,338
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 6	112,806	112,806
	LESS REIMBURSABLES	- 451,006	- 451,006
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		- 266,490	- 266,490
	RESTORE A-10		132,000	+ 132,000
	TOTAL, ACTIVE FORCES, AIR FORCE	27,969,322	27,756,536	- 212,786
	TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE	27,969,322	27,756,536	- 212,786

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

(In thousands of dollars)

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	BA 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS			
5	Basic Pay	4,766,155	4,710,104	- 56,051
	Improving funds management: Projected understrength			- 56,051
	BA 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
60	Basic Pay	8,674,231	8,666,026	- 8,205
	Improving funds management: Projected understrength			- 8,205
	BA 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION TRAVEL			
140	Rotational Travel	578,894	564,854	- 14,040
	Improving funds management: Excess growth			- 14,040
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT			
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances		- 266,490	- 266,490
	Authorization adjustment: Restore A-10		132,000	+ 132,000

RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY

Appropriations, 2015	\$4,317,859,000
Budget estimate, 2016	4,550,974,000
Committee recommendation	4,418,714,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$4,418,714,000. This is \$132,260,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

(In thousands of dollars)

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY			
	ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT			
10	PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48)	1,543,361	1,543,361
20	PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACTIVE DUTY)	44,492	44,492
30	PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS)	234,314	234,314
40	PAY GROUP P TRAINING (PIPELINE RECRUITS)	13,326	13,326
60	MOBILIZATION TRAINING	320	320
70	SCHOOL TRAINING	215,951	215,951
80	SPECIAL TRAINING	294,460	294,460
90	ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	2,066,663	2,066,663
100	EDUCATION BENEFITS	18,380	18,380
120	HEALTH PROFESSION SCHOLARSHIP	59,606	59,606
130	OTHER PROGRAMS	60,101	60,101
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	4,550,974	4,550,974
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		-132,260	-132,260
	TOTAL RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY	4,550,974	4,418,714	-132,260

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

(In thousands of dollars)

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT			
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances ..		-132,260	-132,260

RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY

Appropriations, 2015	\$1,835,924,000
Budget estimate, 2016	1,884,991,000
Committee recommendation	1,849,541,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,849,541,000. This is \$35,450,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

(In thousands of dollars)

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY			
	ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT			
10	PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48)	603,067	603,067
20	PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACTIVE DUTY)	7,141	7,141
30	PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS)	62,500	62,500
60	MOBILIZATION TRAINING	8,816	8,816
70	SCHOOL TRAINING	45,974	45,974
80	SPECIAL TRAINING	111,903	111,903
90	ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	992,146	992,146
100	EDUCATION BENEFITS	107	107
120	HEALTH PROFESSION SCHOLARSHIP	53,337	53,337
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	1,884,991	1,884,991
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		- 35,450	- 35,450
	TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY	1,884,991	1,849,541	- 35,450

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

(In thousands of dollars)

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT			
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances	- 35,450	- 35,450

RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS

Appropriations, 2015	\$660,424,000
Budget estimate, 2016	706,481,000
Committee recommendation	697,839,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$697,839,000. This is \$8,642,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

(In thousands of dollars)

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS			
	ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT			
10	PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48)	269,298	269,298
20	PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACT DUTY)	36,573	36,573
30	PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS)	108,034	108,034
60	MOBILIZATION TRAINING	2,529	2,529
70	SCHOOL TRAINING	24,160	24,160
80	SPECIAL TRAINING	26,272	24,420	- 1,852
90	ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	233,388	233,388
95	PLATOON LEADER CLASS	5,585	5,585
100	EDUCATION BENEFITS	642	642

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	706,481	704,629	- 1,852
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		- 6,790	- 6,790
	TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS	706,481	697,839	- 8,642

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
80	BA 1: MARINE CORPS RESERVE TRAINING AND SUPPORT			
	Special Training	26,272	24,420	- 1,852
	Improving funds management: Excess growth			- 1,852
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT			
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances		- 6,790	- 6,790

RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2015	\$1,653,148,000
Budget estimate, 2016	1,696,283,000
Committee recommendation	1,676,551,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,676,551,000. This is \$19,732,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

(In thousands of dollars)

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE			
	ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT			
10	PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48)	656,936	656,936
20	PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACTIVE DUTY)	109,227	109,227
30	PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS)	56,152	56,152
40	PAY GROUP P TRAINING (PIPELINE RECRUITS)	1,830	1,830
60	MOBILIZATION TRAINING	576	576
70	SCHOOL TRAINING	141,835	141,835
80	SPECIAL TRAINING	208,440	208,440
90	ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	444,057	436,525	- 7,532
100	EDUCATION BENEFITS	13,248	13,248
120	HEALTH PROFESSION SCHOLARSHIP	58,952	58,952
130	OTHER PROGRAMS (ADMIN & SUPPORT)	5,030	5,030
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	1,696,283	1,688,751	- 7,532
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		- 12,200	- 12,200
	TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE	1,696,283	1,676,551	- 19,732

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

(In thousands of dollars)

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
90	BA 1: AIR FORCE RESERVE TRAINING AND SUPPORT			
	Administration and Support	444,057	436,525	- 7,532
	Improving funds management: Excess growth			- 7,532
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT			
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances ...		- 12,200	- 12,200

NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY

Appropriations, 2015	\$7,643,832,000
Budget estimate, 2016	7,942,132,000
Committee recommendation	7,862,122,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$7,862,122,000. This is \$80,010,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

(In thousands of dollars)

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY			
	ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT			
10	PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48)	2,606,347	2,606,347
30	PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS)	526,051	526,051
40	PAY GROUP P TRAINING (PIPELINE RECRUITS)	41,411	41,411
70	SCHOOL TRAINING	471,330	471,330
80	SPECIAL TRAINING	571,720	596,520	+ 24,800
90	ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	3,690,407	3,690,407
100	EDUCATION BENEFITS	34,866	34,866
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	7,942,132	7,966,932	+ 24,800
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		- 104,810	- 104,810
	TOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY	7,942,132	7,862,122	- 80,010

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

(In thousands of dollars)

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
80	BA 1: ARMY NATIONAL GUARD TRAINING AND SUPPORT			
	Special Training	571,720	596,520	+ 24,800
	Authorization adjustment: Additional support for the National Guard's Operation Phalanx			+ 20,000
	Program increase: Army National Guard Cyber Protection Teams			+ 4,800
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT			
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances		- 104,810	- 104,810

NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2015	\$3,118,709,000
Budget estimate, 2016	3,222,551,000
Committee recommendation	3,205,691,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$3,205,691,000. This is \$16,860,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

(In thousands of dollars)

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE			
	ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT			
10	PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48)	925,442	925,442	
30	PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS)	105,653	105,653	
40	PAY GROUP P TRAINING (PIPELINE RECRUITS)	8,596	8,596	
70	SCHOOL TRAINING	290,988	290,988	
80	SPECIAL TRAINING	182,511	184,211	+ 1,700
90	ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	1,694,558	1,694,558	
100	EDUCATION BENEFITS	14,803	14,803	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	3,222,551	3,224,251	+ 1,700
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		- 18,560	- 18,560
	TOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE	3,222,551	3,205,691	- 16,860

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

(In thousands of dollars)

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	BA 1: AIR NATIONAL GUARD TRAINING AND SUPPORT			
80	Special Training	182,511	184,211	+ 1,700
	Authorization adjustment: Additional support for the National Guard's Operation Phalanx			+ 1,700
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT			
	Improving funds management: Unobligated balances		- 24,560	- 24,560
	Program increase: Trauma training		6,000	+ 6,000

TITLE II

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

Funds appropriated under this title provide the resources required to prepare for and conduct combat operations and other peace time missions. These funds are used to purchase fuel and spare parts for training operations, pay supporting civilian personnel, and purchase supplies, equipment, and service contracts for the repair of weapons and facilities.

The President's fiscal year 2016 budget requests a total of \$176,517,228,000 for operation and maintenance appropriations.

SUMMARY OF COMMITTEE ACTION

The Committee recommends operation and maintenance appropriations totaling \$139,330,199,000 for fiscal year 2016. This is \$37,187,029,000 below the budget estimate.

Committee recommended operation and maintenance appropriations for fiscal year 2016 are summarized below:

SUMMARY OF OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE APPROPRIATIONS

(In thousands of dollars)

Account	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
Operation and Maintenance:			
Operation and Maintenance, Army	35,107,546	27,338,766	- 7,768,780
Operation and Maintenance, Navy	42,200,756	25,986,766	- 16,213,990
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps	6,228,782	5,277,785	- 950,997
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force	38,191,929	26,198,654	- 11,993,275
Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide	32,440,843	32,124,431	- 316,412
Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve	2,665,792	2,679,992	+ 14,200
Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve	1,001,758	998,758	- 3,000
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve	277,036	277,036	
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve	3,064,257	3,056,357	- 7,900
Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard	6,717,977	6,746,302	+ 28,325
Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard	6,956,210	6,951,010	- 5,200
United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces	14,078	14,078	
Environmental Restoration, Army	234,829	234,829	
Environmental Restoration, Navy	292,453	292,453	
Environmental Restoration, Air Force	368,131	368,131	
Environmental Restoration, Defense-Wide	8,232	8,232	
Environmental Restoration, Formerly Used Defense Sites	203,717	233,717	+ 30,000
Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster, and Civic Aid	100,266	100,266	
Cooperative Threat Reduction Account	358,496	358,496	
Department of Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund ..	84,140	84,140	
Total	176,517,228	139,330,199	- 37,187,029

REPROGRAMMING GUIDANCE FOR OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE ACCOUNTS

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414) for each of the fiscal year 2016 appropriation accounts not later than 60 days after the enactment of this act. The Secretary of Defense is prohibited from executing any reprogramming or transfer of funds for any purpose other than originally appropriated until the aforementioned report is submitted to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees.

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to use the normal prior approval reprogramming procedures to transfer funds in the services' operation and maintenance accounts between O-1 budget activities in excess of \$15,000,000. In addition, the Secretary of Defense should follow prior approval reprogramming procedures for transfers in excess of \$15,000,000 out of the following budget sub-activities:

Army:

- Maneuver units
- Modular support brigades
- Land forces operations support
- Force readiness operations support
- Land forces depot maintenance
- Base operations support
- Facilities Sustainment, Restoration, and Modernization

Navy:

- Aircraft depot maintenance
- Ship depot maintenance
- Facilities Sustainment, Restoration, and Modernization

Marine Corps:

- Depot maintenance
- Facilities Sustainment, Restoration, and Modernization

Air Force:

- Primary combat forces
- Combat enhancement forces
- Combat communications
- Facilities Sustainment, Restoration, and Modernization

Air Force Reserve:

- Depot maintenance

Air National Guard:

- Depot maintenance

Additionally, the Secretary of Defense shall follow prior approval reprogramming procedures for transfers in excess of \$15,000,000 into the following budget sub-activity:

Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard:

- Other personnel support/recruiting and advertising

With respect to Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide, proposed transfers of funds to or from the levels specified for defense agencies in excess of \$15,000,000 shall be subject to prior approval reprogramming procedures.

During fiscal year 2016, the Committee directs the Service Secretaries to submit written notification and justification to the congressional defense committees not later than 15 days prior to implementing transfers in excess of \$15,000,000 out of the following budget sub-activities:

Navy:

- Mission and other flight operations
- Mission and other ship operations

Air Force:

- Operating forces depot maintenance
- Mobilization depot maintenance
- Training and recruiting depot maintenance
- Administration and service-wide depot maintenance

These transfers may be implemented 15 days after a congressional notification unless an objection is received from one of the congressional defense committees.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE BUDGET EXECUTION DATA

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to continue to provide the congressional defense committees with quarterly budget execution data. Such data should be provided not later than 45 days after the close of each quarter of the fiscal year, and should be provided for each O-1 budget activity, activity group, and sub-activity group for each of the active, defense-wide, reserve, and National Guard components. For each O-1 budget activity, activity group, and sub-activity group, these reports should include the budget request and actual obligation amount, the distribution of unallocated congressional adjustments to the budget request, all adjustments made by the Department in establishing the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414) report, all adjustments resulting from below threshold reprogrammings, and all adjustments resulting from prior approval reprogramming requests.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE SPECIAL INTEREST ITEMS

Items for which additional funds have been provided or have been specifically reduced as shown in the project level tables or in paragraphs using the phrase "only for" or "only to" in the Committee report are congressional special interest items for the purpose of the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414). Each of these items must be carried on the DD Form 1414 at the stated amount as specifically addressed in the Committee report. Below Threshold Reprogrammings may not be used to either restore or reduce funding from congressional special interest items as identified on the DD Form 1414.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OVERVIEW

Civilian Workforce.—The Committee expects the Department of Defense to maintain a stable, effective, right-sized civilian cadre. The Committee recognizes the critical role that the civilian workforce plays every day in ensuring the mission success of the Armed Forces and fully funds the 1.3 percent pay raise for civilian personnel as requested.

Workforce Management.—The Committee supports a workforce management plan that is fair for all sectors of the workforce. Decisions on sourcing new work, expanding existing responsibilities, or transferring functions currently performed by civilian personnel or contractors to performance by military personnel must not proceed without comparing the costs according to the Department's own methodology. Therefore, decisions whether to assign new work which is not inherently governmental, closely associated with inherently governmental functions, or critical to military, civilian, or contractor personnel, shall be based on the results of the costing methodology laid out in Department of Defense Instruction [DODI] 7041.04. Additionally, before converting functions performed by civilian personnel or contractors to performance by military personnel that are not required by law or regulation to be performed

by military personnel, the Department shall adhere to its own costing methodology laid out in DODI 7041.04.

Civilian Compensation.—For the past 2 years, the Committee has recommended reductions in the operation and maintenance accounts based on the overestimation of civilian full time equivalent [FTE] levels. Through analysis directed by the Committee during the fiscal year 2016 review, the data showed that while the services continue to underexecute the number of authorized civilian FTE, they overexecute the funding levels budgeted for civilian FTE. The most common explanation for this apparent disconnect is that average salary continues to grow at a greater rate than planned. For example, the Army explained that for one line item in Operation and Maintenance, Army, the number of civilian FTE was unchanged between fiscal year 2015 and fiscal year 2016, yet civilian compensation went up by 8 percent between those years.

The Committee understands the difficulties in predicting civilian FTE levels, particularly during the past several turbulent years. However, the Secretary of Defense is encouraged to review the trend of increasing civilian compensation, despite the pay raise only increasing minimally each year, and the underexecution of FTE levels. In order to facilitate this review, the Committee directs the Government Accountability Office [GAO] to provide a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 180 days of the enactment of this act making recommendations to improve the management of civilian compensation and FTE levels. Within the analysis, the Committee suggests the GAO explore the factors influencing average salary and how to better control its volatility. Also, the GAO shall examine how to standardize the types of growth included in pay rates versus program growth (for example, the Army includes an extra compensable day as a rate adjustment but the Navy breaks it out as program growth). Finally, the report shall examine steps the Department of Defense can take to formulate a civilian compensation budget that better captures the true cost of the civilian workforce.

Contract Services Spending.—The Committee is concerned that the Department of Defense does not have adequate policies, procedures, and controls in place to enforce limitations on the annual amounts expended on contracted services. There is further concern that not all contracted services are being subjected to spending limitations because of the exclusion of contracted services involving Economy Act transfers between and within Department of Defense components. Additionally, because of the disparity between the levels of contracted services captured in the Inventory of Contracts for Services, required under section 2330a of title 10, United States Code, and what the Department budgets for contracted services, it appears as though the Department does not deliberately plan for most contracted services. The Committee urges the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) to review the efforts of the financial management and acquisition communities to implement effective control mechanisms for contracted services spending.

Operation and Maintenance Budget Justification.—The Committee commends the Department for the improvements in the Operation and Maintenance [O&M] budget materials made over the

past several years. To further inform the congressional review, the Committee directs the following actions:

- The budget justification materials shall include the OP-8B: Total Civilian Personnel Costs for every appropriation as a part of the President's budget justification.
- Each OP-5 exhibit includes a personnel summary which provides helpful information about the civilian workforce. However, the way it is displayed includes memo entries for military technicians and reimbursable civilians, which makes it difficult to quickly analyze direct hire full time equivalents [FTEs]. The Office of the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) is encouraged to revise the personnel summary section of the OP-5 exhibit to maintain the categories of information provided (including military technicians and reimbursable civilians) but to breakout the numbers without using memo entries.
- Every subactivity group in O&M that funds recruiting and advertising activities shall include the budget profile broken out by recruiting and advertising for the prior year, current year, and budget year as a part of the performance criteria in the OP-5 exhibit.
- Several of the defense agencies' budget justification submissions in O&M Defense-wide are not following the Financial Management Regulations [FMR]. On the OP-5 exhibit, the FMR directs that that the Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases should "itemize and justify the major program changes in each year . . . Such justification should clearly explain programmatic changes in resource levels including why increases are required or decreases occur." Instead of addressing programmatic increases and decreases, some defense agencies group adjustments by OP-32 lines such as increases to "supplies and materials" or decreases to "other intragovernmental purchases." The defense agencies are directed to address programmatic changes as opposed to using OP-32 line titles on the OP-5 exhibit.
- The FMR directs the services and defense agencies to itemize major program changes and provide the baseline in dollars to which the increase or decrease applies on the OP-5 exhibit. Some of the services default to the subactivity total as the baseline for changes or only list a specific baseline if a particular program is broken out in the performance criteria. The services and defense agencies are directed to use the most specific programmatic baseline possible, regardless of whether or not the program baseline is listed in the performance criteria.
- The FMR provides specific instructions for the performance criteria for base operations support (Exhibit OP-5 Base Support Program (Attachment 8)). The services are directed to follow this outline; particularly the instruction that says that the sum of amounts must match the Base Support total in the O-1 exhibit.
- The Office of Economic Adjustment's budget documentation in O&M Defense-wide shall include the budget profile of each major program for the prior year, current year, and budget year as a part of the performance criteria in the OP-5 exhibit.

Examples of major programs would be Program Assistance, Defense Industry Adjustment, and Guam.

—The Army, for subactivity groups 211 Strategic Mobility and 212 Army Prepositioned Stocks, shall include the budget profile broken out by each Army Prepositioned Stocks [APS] unit set for the prior year, current year, and budget year as a part of the performance criteria in the OP-5 exhibit.

—The Department of the Air Force is encouraged to begin utilizing OP-32 line 990 Information Technology Contract Support Services.

Financial Literacy.—The Committee supports the Department's plan to develop a Financial Education Program for the men and women of the armed services. The program is meant to educate servicemembers on best practices in regards to career transition, health benefits, retirement planning and savings, and overall financial readiness.

Tobacco Use in the Military.—Tobacco use is the leading cause of preventable death in the United States, with more than 480,000 deaths attributable to cigarette smoking each year. The Department of Defense has affirmed the goal of a tobacco-free military, and has implemented a range of programs including public education campaigns, the banning of all tobacco use during basic training, and the prohibition of tobacco use by instructors in the presence of students. In support of these goals, the Committee retains a provision from the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2015 directing the elimination of the price subsidy provided to tobacco products at military exchanges.

Voluntary Military Education Programs—Tracking Outcomes.—The Committee is concerned about the lack of information available on the outcomes of students receiving Tuition Assistance and My Career Advancement Account [MyCAA] benefits. Therefore, the Committee directs the Department to submit a report tracking such outcomes of each of these programs. The report shall be submitted on or before June 1, 2016, and shall include, but not be limited to, the following data totals for calendar year 2015: an aggregate graduation rate, loan default rate, and average indebtedness. Additionally, the report shall then disaggregate the data to show these same metrics by sector: public, private for-profit, and private not-for-profit. Finally, the report shall include the percentage of servicemembers utilizing the Top-Up program for voluntary military education and the average dollar amount of usage. The Department is also encouraged to make an effort to gather data on the jobs attained after graduation, specifically whether those jobs can be reasonably said to be in the field of study identified in the students' education plans.

Voluntary Military Education Programs—Third Party Audits.—The Committee recognizes the importance of voluntary military education programs to the continued education of our men and women in uniform and their spouses. In recent years, the programs have grown in popularity among servicemembers and, as such, in cost to the Federal Government. Despite recent efforts to improve oversight, the Committee notes that Department of Defense attempts to obtain key information needed to assess the quality of

nearly 3,000 schools servicemembers attend have been largely unsuccessful.

The Committee is concerned about the number and transparency of third party audits of schools within the Department's Tuition Assistance program. In light of these concerns, the Committee commends the Department for its decision to develop a new Statement of Work for third party audits for execution under a new contract which will strengthen protections for, and the quality of education provided to, the military community. Since previous third party assessments lacked coherence and specificity, and did not fully consider the qualifications needed by the contractor conducting the assessments before awarding the contract, the Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to develop a clear, written plan for third party assessments of schools. As part of that plan, the Department is urged to (1) develop clear, measurable questions to guide the assessments and (2) require that the entity or entities conducting the assessments have the necessary skills, expertise and experience to effectively assess the schools.

Extremity Protection Program.—The Committee encourages the Department of Defense to fully fund the Cold Weather Protective Equipment—Extremity Protection Program in order to sustain research and development programs, acquisition requirements and to maintain a basic combat capability in protective equipment for servicemembers.

Contracting Outreach to the U.S. Construction Industry.—The Committee is concerned about the high unemployment rate of the Nation's construction industry. Despite the efforts of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy to increase communication between procurement officers and industry, local contractors very often are not aware or do not have the opportunity to compete for local construction projects. The Committee believes the Department of Defense, as a whole, should modernize its traditional outreach methods to reach a broader group of local contractors. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Secretaries of the Army, Navy, and Air Force as well as Directors of Defense Agencies to review their respective construction contracting regulations and instructions to ensure adequate direction regarding Federal procurement opportunities and bidding processes is provided to local construction industry contractors, especially small businesses, minority-owned businesses, and women-owned businesses.

Data Center Consolidation Efforts.—The cost of Information Technology [IT] continues to be a significant limiting factor on the budgets of Federal agencies, including the Department of Defense. As such, it is imperative that the Department take advantage of data center consolidation efforts occurring not only within its agencies, but throughout the Federal Government while maintaining high levels of security. The Committee believes that there are opportunities to ensure such security in centers operated by agencies such as the Department of Homeland Security, where the capacity and the infrastructure to support Department of Defense security needs is already in place. Therefore, the Committee strongly encourages the Department of Defense to explore options regarding the use of secure data centers outside of the Department of Defense, including those currently operated for the Department of

Homeland Security, to reduce costs and to more rapidly achieve IT modernization through the use of cloud services.

National Security Planning.—The Committee notes that it has yet to receive the report from the Under Secretary of Defense for Policy on the national security implications of environmental impacts, as required by Senate Report 113–211 (July 17, 2014). That report is to address the most serious risks for each of the geographic Combatant Commands, and how those commands are integrating mitigation of those risks into their planning processes. The Committee encourages the Under Secretary of Defense for Policy and the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff to continue to assess the risks to national security posed by environmental impacts as they plan and execute the National Security Strategy.

Pre-Engineered/Prefabricated Buildings and Structures.—The Committee encourages the Department of Defense to expand utilization of the existing General Services Administration [GSA] Schedule for Pre-Engineered/Prefabricated Buildings and Structures [PEBS]. The Committee urges the Department's contracting personnel and agency executives to consider the savings in costs and time potentially gained by procuring its facility needs, when possible and practical, through the GSA Schedule. The Committee also encourages the Department's policy makers and program managers to streamline the process and eliminate any unnecessary bureaucratic, regulatory or policy impediments to such GSA procurement.

Procurement of Personal Protective Equipment.—Organizational Clothing and Individual Equipment [OCIE] and Personal Protective Equipment [PPE] used by the services are specifically designed to meet challenging military requirements. Items such as body armor, eye protection, helmets and other specialized clothing are highly-engineered equipment designed and manufactured to meet rigorous performance standards and stringent production quality requirements. The Secretary of Defense is encouraged to use the best value contracting methods when procuring OCIE and PPE.

Council of Governors and Cyber Capabilities.—The Committee supports the ongoing efforts of the Department of Defense, U.S. Cyber Command, and the Active and Reserve Components of the armed services to develop offensive and defense cyber teams, but recognizes a lack of synchronization among Federal and State stakeholders in developing mission and funding requirements, especially for National Guard cyber teams. The Council of Governors was created as a mechanism for Governors and Federal officials to address matters pertaining to the National Guard, homeland defense, and defense support to civil authorities. As outlined in the Council's Joint Action Plan for State-Federal Unity of Effort on Cybersecurity, the Council also has a shared responsibility with Federal partners to enhance a national unity of effort in protecting critical infrastructure from cyber threats. Therefore, the Committee directs the Department of Defense to consult with the Council of Governors regarding cyber mission force requirements and synchronization among the Active, Reserve and National Guard components not later than 60 days after enactment of this act. Additionally, the Committee requests that the Council of Governors report to the congressional defense committees not later than 90 days

after enactment of this act, any recommendations regarding cyber mission requirements and synchronization.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY

Appropriations, 2015 \$31,961,920,000
 Budget estimate, 2016 35,107,546,000
 Committee recommendation 27,338,766,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$27,338,766,000. This is \$7,768,780,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

(In thousands of dollars)

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
	LAND FORCES			
10	MANEUVER UNITS	1,094,429		- 1,094,429
20	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES	68,873	68,873	
30	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADES	508,008	508,008	
40	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS	763,300		- 763,300
50	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT	1,054,322		- 1,054,322
60	AVIATION ASSETS	1,546,129		- 1,546,129
	LAND FORCES READINESS			
70	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT	3,158,606		- 3,158,606
80	LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS	438,909	438,909	
90	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE	1,214,116	1,291,316	+ 77,200
	LAND FORCES READINESS SUPPORT			
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	7,616,008	7,626,508	+ 10,500
110	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION, & MODERNIZATION	2,617,169	2,617,169	
120	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HEADQUARTERS	421,269	421,269	
130	COMBATANT COMMANDER'S CORE OPERATIONS	164,743	164,743	
170	COMBATANT COMMANDERS ANCILLARY MISSIONS	448,633	428,633	- 20,000
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	21,114,514	13,565,428	- 7,549,086
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 2: MOBILIZATION			
	MOBILITY OPERATIONS			
180	STRATEGIC MOBILITY	401,638	401,638	
190	ARMY PREPOSITIONED STOCKS	261,683	261,683	
200	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS	6,532	6,532	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2	669,853	669,853	
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
	ACCESSION TRAINING			
210	OFFICER ACQUISITION	131,536	131,536	
220	RECRUIT TRAINING	47,843	47,843	
230	ONE STATION UNIT TRAINING	42,565	42,565	
240	SENIOR RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS	490,378	490,378	
	BASIC SKILL AND ADVANCED TRAINING			
250	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	981,000	956,000	- 25,000
260	FLIGHT TRAINING	940,872	940,872	
270	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	230,324	230,324	
280	TRAINING SUPPORT	603,519	603,519	

(In thousands of dollars)

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	RECRUITING AND OTHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION			
290	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	491,922	491,922
300	EXAMINING	194,079	194,079
310	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	227,951	227,951
320	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING	161,048	161,048
330	JUNIOR RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS	170,118	178,118	+ 8,000
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3	4,713,155	4,696,155	- 17,000
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS			
350	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	485,778	485,778
360	CENTRAL SUPPLY ACTIVITIES	813,881	803,881	- 10,000
370	LOGISTICS SUPPORT ACTIVITIES	714,781	687,781	- 27,000
380	AMMUNITION MANAGEMENT	322,127	322,127
	SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT			
390	ADMINISTRATION	384,813	384,813
400	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	1,781,350	1,781,350
410	MANPOWER MANAGEMENT	292,532	292,532
420	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT	375,122	375,122
430	OTHER SERVICE SUPPORT	1,119,848	1,119,848
440	ARMY CLAIMS ACTIVITIES	225,358	225,358
450	REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT	239,755	239,755
460	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	223,319	223,319
	SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS			
470	SUPPORT OF NATO OPERATIONS	469,865	469,865
480	MISC. SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS	40,521	40,521
	OTHER PROGRAMS	1,120,974	1,140,974	+ 20,000
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	8,610,024	8,593,024	- 17,000
	EXCESS WORKING CAPITAL FUND CARRYOVER		- 150,000	- 150,000
	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS		- 35,944	- 35,944
	FINANCIAL LITERACY TRAINING		250	+ 250
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY	35,107,546	27,338,766	- 7,768,780

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

(In thousands of dollars)

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
111	Maneuver Units	1,094,429	- 1,094,429
	Authorization adjustment: Transfer base requirements to OCO due to BCA	- 1,094,429
114	Theater Level Assets	763,300	- 763,300
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth	- 70,000
	Authorization adjustment: Transfer base requirements to OCO due to BCA	- 693,300
115	Land Forces Operations Support	1,054,322	- 1,054,322
	Authorization adjustment: Transfer base requirements to OCO due to BCA	- 1,054,322
116	Aviation Assets	1,546,129	- 1,546,129
	Authorization adjustment: Transfer base requirements to OCO due to BCA	- 1,546,129
121	Force Readiness Operations Support	3,158,606	- 3,158,606
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth	- 20,000
	Authorization adjustment: Transfer base requirements to OCO due to BCA	- 3,138,606

(In thousands of dollars)

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
123	Land Forces Depot Maintenance	1,214,116	1,291,316	+ 77,200
	Authorization adjustment: Readiness funding increase			+ 77,200
131	Base Operations Support	7,616,008	7,626,508	+ 10,500
	Authorization adjustment: Readiness funding increase			+ 10,500
138	Combatant Commands Direct Mission Support	448,633	428,633	- 20,000
	Improving funds management: Overestimation of IT contract support services			- 20,000
321	Specialized Skill Training	981,000	956,000	- 25,000
	Improving funds management: Fiscal year 2015 Sec 9018 financing			- 25,000
335	Junior Reserve Officer Training Corps	170,118	178,118	+ 8,000
	Program increase: Junior Reserve Officer Training Corps			+ 8,000
422	Central Supply Activities	813,881	803,881	- 10,000
	Maintain program affordability: Reduced requirement for Sustainment System Technical Support (SSTS)			- 10,000
423	Logistic Support Activities	714,781	687,781	- 27,000
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth			- 27,000
999	Classified Programs	1,120,974	1,140,974	+ 20,000
	Authorization Adjustment: Additional SOUTHCOM ISR and Intel Support			+ 20,000
UNDIST	Improving funds management: Working Capital Fund carry over		- 150,000	- 150,000
UNDIST	Authorization adjustment: Streamlining Management Headquarters		- 35,944	- 35,944
UNDIST	Authorization adjustment: Financial literacy training		250	+ 250

Productivity Enhancement Program.—The Department of Defense's organic depot maintenance capability is vital to our military's sustainment infrastructure. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Army to modernize the organic depots' Electronic Test and Measurement Equipment [ET&ME] to allow cost savings in future maintenance and calibration expenditures.

Aviation Force Structure.—The Committee notes that, due to fiscal constraints caused by the Budget Control Act of 2011 [BCA], total obligational authority provided for the Army's aviation portfolio has been reduced by approximately \$2,500,000,000 per year through fiscal year 2019. As a direct result, the Army is reducing total active duty Combat Aviation Brigades from 13 to 10 and annual aviation accessions and training throughput from 1,091 students to as few as 750. These reductions limit the Army's ability to re-grow its aviation portfolio in a post-BCA fiscal environment. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Army to submit to the congressional defense committees, not later than 90 days after enactment of this act, a report outlining the Army's plans to mitigate impacts on aviation training over fiscal years 2016–2021.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY

Appropriations, 2015	\$37,590,854,000
Budget estimate, 2016	42,200,756,000
Committee recommendation	25,986,766,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$25,986,766,000. This is \$16,213,990,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

(In thousands of dollars)

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
	AIR OPERATIONS			
10	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS	4,940,365	- 4,940,365
20	FLEET AIR TRAINING	1,830,611	1,830,611
30	AVIATION TECHNICAL DATA AND ENGINEERING SERVICES	37,225	37,225
40	AIR OPERATIONS AND SAFETY SUPPORT	103,456	103,456
50	AIR SYSTEMS SUPPORT	376,844	351,844	- 25,000
60	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE	897,536	- 897,536
70	AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	33,201	33,201
80	AVIATION LOGISTICS	544,056	504,056	- 40,000
	SHIP OPERATIONS			
90	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS	4,287,658	- 4,287,658
100	SHIP OPERATIONS SUPPORT AND TRAINING	787,446	787,446
110	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE	5,960,951	- 5,960,951
120	SHIP DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	1,554,863	1,554,863
	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS/SUPPORT			
130	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS	704,415	704,415
140	ELECTRONIC WARFARE	96,916	96,916
150	SPACE SYSTEMS AND SURVEILLANCE	192,198	192,198
160	WARFARE TACTICS	453,942	453,942
170	OPERATIONAL METEOROLOGY AND OCEANOGRAPHY	351,871	351,871
180	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES	1,186,847	1,171,847	- 15,000
190	EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE	123,948	123,948
200	DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	2,443	2,443
210	COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS	98,914	95,214	- 3,700
220	COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT	73,110	73,110
	WEAPONS SUPPORT			
230	CRUISE MISSILE	110,734	110,734
240	FLEET BALLISTIC MISSILE	1,206,736	1,206,736
250	IN-SERVICE WEAPONS SYSTEMS SUPPORT	141,664	141,664
260	WEAPONS MAINTENANCE	523,122	523,122
270	OTHER WEAPON SYSTEMS SUPPORT	371,872	371,872
	BASE SUPPORT			
280	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	896,061	896,061
290	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	2,220,423	2,220,423
300	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	4,472,468	4,472,468
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	34,581,896	18,411,686	- 16,170,210
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 2: MOBILIZATION			
	READY RESERVE AND PREPOSITIONING FORCES			
310	SHIP PREPOSITIONING AND SURGE	422,846	422,846
	ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS			
320	AIRCRAFT ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS	6,464	6,464
330	SHIP ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS	361,764	361,764
	MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS			
340	FLEET HOSPITAL PROGRAM	69,530	69,530
350	INDUSTRIAL READINESS	2,237	2,237
360	COAST GUARD SUPPORT	21,823	21,823
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2	884,664	884,664

(In thousands of dollars)

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
	ACCESSION TRAINING			
370	OFFICER ACQUISITION	149,375	149,375
380	RECRUIT TRAINING	9,035	9,035
390	RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS	156,290	156,290
	BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING			
400	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	653,728	653,728
410	FLIGHT TRAINING	8,171	8,171
420	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	168,471	168,471
430	TRAINING SUPPORT	196,048	196,048
	RECRUITING, AND OTHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION			
440	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	234,233	235,433	+ 1,200
450	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	137,855	137,855
460	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING	77,257	69,257	- 8,000
470	JUNIOR ROTC	47,653	47,653
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3	1,838,116	1,831,316	- 6,800
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
	SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT			
480	ADMINISTRATION	923,771	923,771
490	EXTERNAL RELATIONS	13,967	13,967
500	CIVILIAN MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT	120,812	120,812
510	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT	350,983	346,983	- 4,000
520	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT	265,948	265,948
530	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	335,482	335,482
	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS AND TECHNICAL SUPPORT			
550	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	197,724	197,724
570	PLANNING, ENGINEERING AND DESIGN	274,936	274,936
580	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	1,122,178	1,122,178
590	HULL, MECHANICAL AND ELECTRICAL SUPPORT	48,587	48,587
600	COMBAT/WEAPONS SYSTEMS	25,599	25,599
610	SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEMS	72,768	72,768
	SECURITY PROGRAMS			
620	NAVAL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE	577,803	577,803
	SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS			
680	INTERNATIONAL HEADQUARTERS AND AGENCIES	4,768	4,768
	OTHER PROGRAMS			
	OTHER PROGRAMS	560,754	560,754
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	4,896,080	4,892,080	- 4,000
	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS		- 33,230	- 33,230
	FINANCIAL LITERACY TRAINING		250	+ 250
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY	42,200,756	25,986,766	- 16,213,990

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

(In thousands of dollars)

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1A1A	Mission and Other Flight Operations	4,940,365	- 4,940,365
	Authorization adjustment: Transfer base requirement to OCO due to BCA	- 4,940,365
1A4N	Air Systems Support	376,844	351,844	- 25,000

(In thousands of dollars)

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Improving funds management: Fiscal year 2015 Sec 9018 financing			- 25,000
1A5A	Aircraft Depot Maintenance	897,536		- 897,536
	Authorization adjustment: Transfer base requirement to OCO due to BCA			- 897,536
1A9A	Aviation Logistics	544,056	504,056	- 40,000
	Improving funds management: Fiscal year 2015 Sec 9018 financing			- 40,000
1B1B	Mission and Other Ship Operations	4,287,658		- 4,287,658
	Maintain Program Affordability: Unjustified growth			- 70,000
	Authorization adjustment: Transfer base requirement to OCO due to BCA			- 4,217,658
1B4B	Ship Depot Maintenance	5,960,951		- 5,960,951
	Authorization adjustment: Transfer base requirement to OCO due to BCA			- 5,960,951
1C6C	Combat Support Forces	1,186,847	1,171,847	- 15,000
	Maintain Program Affordability: Unjustified growth			- 15,000
1CCH	Combatant Commanders Core Operations	98,914	95,214	- 3,700
	Transfer ARCTIC EDGE and NORTHERN EDGE funding: To O&MDW, OSD line, CE2T2 program			- 3,700
3C1L	Recruiting and Advertising	234,233	235,433	+ 1,200
	Program increase: Naval Sea Cadet Corps			+ 1,200
3C4L	Civilian Education and Training	77,257	69,257	- 8,000
	Maintain Program Affordability: Unjustified growth			- 8,000
4A4M	Military Manpower and Personnel Management	350,983	346,983	- 4,000
	Maintain Program Affordability: Unjustified growth			- 4,000
UNDIST	Authorization adjustment: Streamlining Management Headquarters		- 33,230	- 33,230
UNDIST	Authorization adjustment: Financial literacy training		250	+ 250

Naval Shipyard Apprentice Program.—The Committee directs that during fiscal year 2016 the Navy shall induct classes of no fewer than 100 apprentices, respectively, at each of the naval shipyards. The Committee further directs the Navy to include the costs of the fiscal year 2017 class of apprentices in its budget request.

U.S. Coast Guard.—The Committee is aware that Department of Defense [DOD] regulations currently restrict DOD mission appropriated funded activities from offering reimbursable rates to non-DOD agencies. This restriction forces the Navy to charge the U.S. Coast Guard fully burdened rates for drydocking services at Navy shipyards rather than reimbursable rates. Therefore, the Committee directs that funds appropriated under Operation and Maintenance, Navy may be used to pay overhead costs incurred by a Naval Shipyard when drydocking U.S. Coast Guard ships.

Bromine-free Water Purification Systems.—Until recently, most-onboard ship water purification systems used bromine as an antimicrobial. However, bromine is toxic and requires special hazardous material [HAZMAT] handling which is time consuming and expensive. While the Navy has placed bromine-free systems on most of the large-deck surface ship classes, it has not yet removed bromine systems from the Littoral Combat Ship, *Ticonderoga* class cruisers, destroyers or frigates. The Committee urges the Navy to consider using systems that eliminate this HAZMAT threat to personnel.

ARCTIC EDGE and NORTHERN EDGE EXERCISES.—ARCTIC EDGE and NORTHERN EDGE are joint Combatant Command exercises that bring mission partners together to exercise oper-

ational response plans for U.S. Northern Command [USNORTHCOM] and U.S. Pacific Command [USPACOM] missions. Historically, USNORTHCOM has executed ARCTIC EDGE in even years, and USPACOM has executed NORTHERN EDGE in odd years. In conjunction with the transfer of Alaskan Command [ALCOM] from USPACOM to USNORTHCOM in October 2014, the Committee realigns \$3,700,000 from Operation and Maintenance, Navy line 1CCH, to the Combatant Commander Exercise Engagement Training Transformation [CE2T2] account under the Office of the Secretary of Defense line in Operation and Maintenance, Defense-wide to execute fiscal year 2016 ARCTIC EDGE and fund planning activities for the fiscal year 2017 NORTHERN EDGE. For subsequent fiscal years, the Committee assumes the Secretary of Defense will continue to program funds in a similar fashion to execute NORTHERN EDGE and fund planning activities for ARCTIC EDGE in odd fiscal years and to execute ARCTIC EDGE and fund planning activities for NORTHERN EDGE in even fiscal years to support alternating biennial exercises. USNORTHCOM and USPACOM will continue to provide CJCS Exercise Strategic Lift from their respective CE2T2 Joint Exercise Training Program funding.

Tubular Light-Emitting Diode Technology.—The Committee commends the Navy for its increasing use of tubular light-emitting diode [T-LED] lighting and encourages it to continue these activities. The Committee urges the Navy to consider updating lighting specifications for ships and bases so T-LED use is an option, developing an approved products list for T-LEDs that is broadly available for use in all vessels and bases, using “total life cycle costs” to determine the value of T-LEDs, and making the installation of T-LEDs in vessels a priority when appropriate, such as during ship retrofits and new builds.

Ship Overhaul Opportunistic Part-Marking Compliance.—The Committee supports the Navy’s efforts to improve compliance with its Opportunistic Part-Marking policy during ship overhauls and repairs at shipyards across the country. Compliance with the policy will help the Navy produce clean financial audits, lower total life-cycle cost, identify counterfeit parts, and save taxpayer funding through improved productivity, efficiency, maintenance, and logistical planning.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS

Appropriations, 2015	\$5,610,063,000
Budget estimate, 2016	6,228,782,000
Committee recommendation	5,277,785,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$5,277,785,000. This is \$950,997,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

(In thousands of dollars)

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
	EXPEDITIONARY FORCES			
10	OPERATIONAL FORCES	931,079		-- 931,079
20	FIELD LOGISTICS	931,757	931,757	
30	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	227,583	227,583	
	USMC PREPOSITIONING			
40	MARITIME PREPOSITIONING	86,259	86,259	
50	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	746,237	746,237	
60	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	2,057,362	2,071,362	+ 14,000
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	4,980,277	4,063,198	-- 917,079
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
	ACCESSION TRAINING			
70	RECRUIT TRAINING	16,460	16,460	
80	OFFICER ACQUISITION	977	977	
	BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING			
90	SPECIALIZED SKILLS TRAINING	97,325	97,325	
100	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	40,786	40,786	
110	TRAINING SUPPORT	347,476	347,476	
	RECRUITING AND OTHER TRAINING EDUCATION			
120	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	164,806	164,806	
130	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	39,963	37,963	-- 2,000
140	JUNIOR ROTC	23,397	23,397	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3	731,190	729,190	-- 2,000
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
	SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT			
150	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	37,386	37,386	
160	ADMINISTRATION	358,395	358,395	
180	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	76,105	76,105	
	SECURITY PROGRAMS			
	SECURITY PROGRAMS	45,429	45,429	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	517,315	517,315	
	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS		- 7,168	- 7,168
	WORKING CAPITAL FUND CARRYOVER		- 10,000	- 10,000
	SAVINGS FROM EXCESS INVENTORY PURCHASE		- 15,000	- 15,000
	FINANCIAL LITERACY TRAINING		250	+ 250
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS	6,228,782	5,277,785	- 950,997

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

(In thousands of dollars)

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1A1A	Operational Forces	931,079	- 931,079
	Budget documentation disparity: Aviation plan			- 4,000
	Authorization adjustment: Transfer base requirement to OCO due to BCA			- 927,079
BSS1	Base Operating Support	2,057,362	2,071,362	+ 14,000
	Program increase: Behavioral health community counseling			+ 14,000
3C2F	Off-Duty and Voluntary Education	39,963	37,963	- 2,000
	Improving funds management: Savings assumed from distance learning initiatives			- 2,000
UNDIST	Authorization adjustment: Streamlining Management Headquarters		- 7,168	- 7,168
UNDIST	Improving funds management: Working Capital Fund carry over above allowable ceiling		- 10,000	- 10,000
UNDIST	Improving funds management: Anticipated savings from excess inventory purchases		- 15,000	- 15,000
UNDIST	Authorization adjustment: Financial literacy training		250	+ 250

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2015 \$34,539,965,000
 Budget estimate, 2016 38,191,929,000
 Committee recommendation 26,198,654,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$26,198,654,000. This is \$11,993,275,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

(In thousands of dollars)

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
	AIR OPERATIONS			
10	PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES	3,336,868	1,276,256	- 2,060,612
20	COMBAT ENHANCEMENT FORCES	1,897,315	- 1,897,315
30	AIR OPERATIONS TRAINING	1,797,549	1,767,549	- 30,000
40	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	6,537,127	- 6,537,127
50	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	1,997,712	1,997,712
60	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	2,841,948	2,841,948
	COMBAT RELATED OPERATIONS			
70	GLOBAL C3I AND EARLY WARNING	930,341	940,341	+ 10,000
80	OTHER COMBAT OPERATIONS SUPPORT PROGRAMS	924,845	924,845
	SPACE OPERATIONS			
100	LAUNCH FACILITIES	271,177	271,177
110	SPACE CONTROL SYSTEMS	382,824	382,824
120	COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT	900,965	889,965	- 11,000
130	COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS	205,078	199,078	- 6,000

(In thousands of dollars)

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	907,496	907,496
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	22,931,245	12,399,191	- 10,532,054
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 2: MOBILIZATION			
	MOBILITY OPERATIONS			
140	AIRLIFT OPERATIONS	2,229,196	2,229,196
150	MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS	148,318	148,318
160	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	1,617,571	- 1,617,571
170	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	259,956	259,956
180	BASE SUPPORT	708,799	708,799
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2	4,963,840	3,346,269	- 1,617,571
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
	ACCESSION TRAINING			
190	OFFICER ACQUISITION	92,191	92,191
200	RECRUIT TRAINING	21,871	21,871
210	RESERVE OFFICER TRAINING CORPS (ROTC)	77,527	77,527
220	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	228,500	228,500
230	BASE SUPPORT (ACADEMIES ONLY)	772,870	772,870
	BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING			
240	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	359,304	359,304
250	FLIGHT TRAINING	710,553	710,553
260	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	228,252	228,252
270	TRAINING SUPPORT	76,464	76,464
280	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	375,513	375,513
	RECRUITING, AND OTHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION			
290	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	79,690	79,690
300	EXAMINING	3,803	3,803
310	OFF DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	180,807	180,807
320	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING	167,478	167,478
330	JUNIOR ROTC	59,263	59,263
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3	3,434,086	3,434,086
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS			
340	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS	1,141,491	1,124,491	- 17,000
350	TECHNICAL SUPPORT ACTIVITIES	862,022	842,022	- 20,000
360	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	61,745	61,745
370	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	298,759	298,759
380	BASE SUPPORT	1,108,220	1,108,220
	SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
390	ADMINISTRATION	689,797	681,797	- 8,000
400	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	498,053	498,053
410	OTHER SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	900,253	900,253
420	CIVIL AIR PATROL CORPORATION	25,411	27,711	+ 2,300
	SECURITY PROGRAMS			
	SECURITY PROGRAMS	1,187,859	1,190,860	+ 3,001
	SUPPORT TO OTHER NATIONS			
450	INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT	89,148	89,148
	OPERATING FORCES			
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	6,862,758	6,823,059	- 39,699
	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS	- 31,801	- 31,801
	SAVINGS FROM ENTERPRICE LICENSE AGREEMENTS	- 35,000	- 35,000
	RESTORE EC-130 COMPASS CALL	27,300	+ 27,300
	RESTORE A-10	235,300	+ 235,300

(In thousands of dollars)

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	FINANCIAL LITERACY TRAINING	250	+ 250
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE	38,191,929	26,198,654	- 11,993,275

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

(In thousands of dollars)

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
011A	Primary Combat Forces	3,336,868	1,276,256	- 2,060,612
	Authorization adjustment: Transfer base requirement to OCO due to BCA	- 2,060,612
011C	Combat Enhancement Forces	1,897,315	- 1,897,315
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth	- 20,000
	Improving funds management: Program requirement decreases unaccounted for	- 25,000
	Authorization adjustment: Transfer base requirement to OCO due to BCA	- 1,852,315
011D	Air Operations Training (OJT, Maintain Skills)	1,797,549	1,767,549	- 30,000
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth	- 30,000
011M	Depot Maintenance	6,537,127	- 6,537,127
	Budget documentation disparity: Remove fiscal year 2015 contractor logistics support costs	- 40,000
	Authorization adjustment: Transfer base requirement to OCO due to BCA	- 6,497,127
012A	Global C3I and Early Warning	930,341	940,341	+ 10,000
	Program increase: Global C3I and Early Warning	+ 10,000
015A	Combatant Commanders Direct Mission Support	900,965	889,965	- 11,000
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth	- 11,000
015B	Combatant Commanders Core Operations	205,078	199,078	- 6,000
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth	- 6,000
021M	Depot Maintenance	1,617,571	- 1,617,571
	Authorization adjustment: Transfer base requirement to OCO due to BCA	- 1,617,571
041A	Logistics Operations	1,141,491	1,124,491	- 17,000
	Budget documentation disparity: O&M and IT budget justification inconsistencies	- 17,000
041B	Technical Support Activities	862,022	842,022	- 20,000
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth	- 20,000
042A	Administration	689,797	681,797	- 8,000
	Budget documentation disparity: Duplicate request	- 8,000
042I	Civil Air Patrol	25,411	27,711	+ 2,300
	Program increase: Civil Air Patrol	+ 2,300
999	Classified Programs	1,187,859	1,190,860	+ 3,001
	Classified program adjustment	+ 3,001
UNDIST	Authorization adjustment: Streamlining Management Headquarters	- 31,801	- 31,801
UNDIST	Improving funds management: Savings assumed from consolidating enterprise license agreements	- 35,000	- 35,000
UNDIST	Authorization adjustment: Restore EC-130 Compass Call	27,300	+ 27,300
UNDIST	Authorization adjustment: Restore A-10	235,300	+ 235,300
UNDIST	Authorization adjustment: Financial literacy training	250	+ 250

Combatant Commands Direct Mission Support.—The Air Force request includes Combatant Command direct mission funding which supports the various geographic and functional missions assigned to support the National Security Strategy and National Military Strategy. The Air Force is the Combatant Command Sup-

port Agent [CCSA] for U.S. Central Command [CENTCOM], U.S. Northern Command [NORTHCOM], North American Aerospace Defense Command [NORAD], U.S. Special Operations Command [SOCOM], U.S. Strategic Command [STRATCOM], and U.S. Transportation Command [TRANSCOM]. The budget justification for the Combatant Commands lacks the details necessary to adequately review the programs. The Committee recommendation includes full funding for STRATCOM and encourages the Air Force to continue to improve the justification for Combatant Commands Direct Mission Support.

Nuclear Force Improvement Program.—The Committee welcomes recent efforts by the Air Force to reinvigorate its nuclear enterprise, which includes the ground-based and air-based legs of the Nation's nuclear triad. The recommendation supports the fiscal year 2016 budget request of more than \$130,000,000 for the Nuclear Force Improvement Program [NFIP] spread across the personnel and operation and maintenance accounts. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to provide a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 180 days after enactment of this act that lists investments made in the NFIP by fiscal year, and describes how these investments improve the health of the Air Force's nuclear enterprise.

Powder River Training Complex.—The Committee recognizes the importance of viable airspace ranges to support training operations for the Active, Guard, and Reserve components. It also recognizes that the implementation of approved airspace must be done in a safe and coordinated fashion. The Committee encourages the Air Force to continue to work with the Federal Aviation Administration and all interested parties as the Powder River Training Complex Record of Decision is implemented. Facilitating emergency air traffic and providing, timely, regular updates concerning airspace usage and scheduling via electronic distribution networks to ensure that interested party concerns are received and addressed are of particular concern.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE

Appropriations, 2015	\$30,824,752,000
Budget estimate, 2016	32,440,843,000
Committee recommendation	32,124,431,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$32,124,431,000. This is \$316,412,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

(In thousands of dollars)

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
10	JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF	485,888	490,888	+ 5,000
	OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE	534,795	534,795	

(In thousands of dollars)

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
20	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	4,862,368	4,818,368	- 44,000
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	5,883,051	5,844,051	- 39,000
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
30	DEFENSE ACQUISITION UNIVERSITY	142,659	142,659	
40	NATIONAL DEFENSE UNIVERSITY	78,416	78,416	
50	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	354,372	354,372	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3	575,447	575,447	
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
60	CIVIL MILITARY PROGRAMS	160,320	190,320	+ 30,000
80	DEFENSE CONTRACT AUDIT AGENCY	570,177	570,177	
90	DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY	1,374,536	1,374,536	
100	DEFENSE HUMAN RESOURCES ACTIVITY	642,551	678,851	+ 36,300
110	DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY	1,282,755	1,285,255	+ 2,500
130	DEFENSE LEGAL SERVICES AGENCY	26,073	26,073	
140	DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY	366,429	389,101	+ 22,672
150	DEFENSE MEDIA ACTIVITY	192,625	192,625	
160	DEFENSE POW /MISSING PERSONS OFFICE	115,372	115,372	
170	DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY	524,723	495,523	- 29,200
180	DEFENSE SECURITY SERVICE	508,396	517,596	+ 9,200
200	DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY SECURITY AGENCY	33,577	33,577	
	DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY	415,696	415,696	
230	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE EDUCATION ACTIVITY	2,753,771	2,783,746	+ 29,975
240	MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY	432,068	424,568	- 7,500
260	OFFICE OF ECONOMIC ADJUSTMENT	110,612	90,612	- 20,000
270	OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE	1,388,285	1,368,735	- 19,550
280	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	83,263	83,263	
290	WASHINGTON HEADQUARTERS SERVICES	621,688	621,688	
	OTHER PROGRAMS	14,379,428	14,165,594	- 213,834
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	25,982,345	25,822,908	- 159,437
	IMPACT AID		30,000	+ 30,000
	IMPACT AID FOR CHILDREN WITH DISABILITIES		5,000	+ 5,000
	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS		- 152,975	- 152,975
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE	32,440,843	32,124,431	- 316,412

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

(In thousands of dollars)

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Joint Chiefs of Staff	485,888	490,888	+ 5,000
	Budget documentation disparity: O&M and IT budget justification are inconsistent			- 15,000
	Authorization adjustment: Middle East Assurance Initiative			+ 20,000
	Special Operations Command/Operating Forces	4,862,368	4,818,368	- 44,000
	Improving funds management: Civilian FTE Overestimation			- 30,000
	Budget documentation disparity: Removal of one-time fiscal year 2015 cost			- 12,000
	Budget documentation disparity: Program termination unaccounted for			- 2,000
	Civil Military Programs	160,320	190,320	+ 30,000

(In thousands of dollars)

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	Program increase: STARBASE			+ 25,000
	Program increase: Innovative readiness training			+ 5,000
	Defense Human Resources Activity	642,551	678,851	+ 36,300
	Budget documentation disparity: Program termination unaccounted for			- 3,000
	Budget documentation disparity: Program transfer to OUSD(C) unaccounted for			- 5,700
	Program increase: Sexual Assault Special Victims' Counsel			+ 25,000
	Program increase: Beyond Yellow Ribbon			+ 20,000
	Defense Information Systems Agency	1,282,755	1,285,255	+ 2,500
	Program increase: Defense Enterprise Computing Centers			+ 2,500
	Defense Logistics Agency	366,429	389,101	+ 22,672
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth in DOD Enterprise Business Systems			- 4,000
	Program increase: Procurement Technical Assistance			+ 11,672
	Program increase: Asset tracking and in-transit visibility			+ 15,000
	Defense Security Cooperation Agency	524,723	495,523	- 29,200
	Authorization adjustment: Reduction to Combating Terrorism Fellowship			- 7,000
	Maintain program affordability: Global Security Contingency Fund			- 22,200
	Defense Security Service	508,396	517,596	+ 9,200
	Transfer Insider Threat/Continuous Evaluation: DSS-requested from RDDW			+ 9,200
	Department of Defense Education Activity	2,753,771	2,783,746	+ 29,975
	Maintain program affordability: Updated program requirements			- 5,000
	Budget documentation disparity: Program transfer to OUSD(C) unaccounted for			- 1,200
	Authorization adjustment: School lunches for territories			+ 250
	Authorization adjustment: Financial literacy training			+ 35,925
	Missile Defense Agency	432,068	424,568	- 7,500
	Improving funds management: THAAD batteries sustainment funded early to need			- 4,900
	Budget documentation disparity: Undistributed reduction-program transfer to OUSD(C) unaccounted for ...			- 2,600
	Office of Economic Adjustment	110,612	90,612	- 20,000
	Authorization adjustment: Guam civilian water and wastewater funding ahead of need			- 20,000
	Office of the Secretary of Defense	1,388,285	1,368,735	- 19,550
	Authorization adjustment: OUSD (AT&L) BRAC planning			- 10,500
	Maintain program affordability: OUSD (Policy) unjustified growth			- 3,000
	Maintain program affordability: Contract service spending reduction			- 30,000
	Transfer ARCTIC EDGE and NORTHERN EDGE funding: To the CE2T2 program from O&M Navy, line 1CCH ...			+ 3,700
	Program increase: Healthy Base Initiative			+ 3,000
	Program increase: Fruit and Vegetable Prescription Plan Pilot			+ 1,500
	Program increase: Readiness and Environmental Protection Initiative			+ 14,750
	Authorization adjustment: OSD Fleet architecture study			+ 1,000
	Classified Programs	14,379,428	14,165,594	- 213,834
	Classified program adjustment			- 224,834
	Classified program increase: Information Systems Security Program			+ 11,000
UNDIST	Authorization adjustment: Streamlining Management Headquarters for Non-NIP agencies		- 152,975	- 152,975
UNDIST	Authorization adjustment: Impact Aid		30,000	+ 30,000

June 9, 2015 (11:46 a.m.)

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
UNDIST	Program increase: Impact Aid for children with severe disabilities	5,000	+ 5,000

Defense Language National Security Education Office.—The Committee recognizes that, in partnership with universities across the country, the National Security Education Program provides critical training for servicemembers and government officials in a number of languages and strategic cultures, including those of the Arab world, Afghanistan, China, and Iran. The Committee encourages the Department of Defense to continue placing a high priority on these programs to ensure warfighters receive the language and culture training needed to complete their missions effectively.

Defense Personnel Accounting Agency.—The Committee is pleased with the establishment of the Defense Personnel Accounting Agency [DPAA], which is responsible for the national effort to develop and implement policy on all matters relating to personnel accounting. The Committee encourages the Department to partner with research universities with expertise in archeology and remains recovery in order to provide the fullest possible accounting for missing personnel from past conflicts.

Wildlife Trafficking.—The committee supports efforts by Africa Command and Special Operations Command to assist in the limitation of animal poaching and wildlife trafficking through their capacity building engagements with African governments and authorities, including “train the trainer” exchanges and exercises and encourages the continuation of these engagements in areas affected by wildlife trafficking. The committee also encourages the Department of Defense to examine avenues to facilitate the provision of surplus and retired U.S. military equipment to governments engaged in countering wildlife trafficking. The committee directs the Secretary of Defense to report to the congressional defense committees not later than 120 days after enactment of this act, describing the planned activities in support of the National Strategy for Combating Wildlife Trafficking Implementation Plan.

Counter-Lord’s Resistance Army.—The Department of Defense shall continue its support of Operation Observant Compass to enable regional partners to continue to conduct operations against Joseph Kony and the Lord’s Resistance Army.

African Standby Force.—The Committee recognizes that the rapid deployment capability envisioned for the African Standby Force [ASF] has the potential to counter emerging crises and provide greatly needed peacekeeping support in Africa. However, this capability has been slow to develop, faces inadequate troop contributions, and has yet to reach full operational capability more than a decade since its inception. As the combatant command overseeing U.S. military policy in Africa, Africa Command [AFRICOM] may have a constructive role in engaging the African Union’s Regional Economic Communities who are tasked to provide the necessary capabilities to bring ASF to full operational capability. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a report to the congressional defense committees not later

than 120 days after enactment of this act, on AFRICOM's capabilities to engage the Regional Economic Communities. The report should also include an assessment of the military capacity shortfalls, to include logistics and airlift that must be addressed as African nations stand up a capable response force.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE

Appropriations, 2015	\$2,513,393,000
Budget estimate, 2016	2,665,792,000
Committee recommendation	2,679,992,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$2,679,992,000. This is \$14,200,000 above the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

(in thousands of dollars)

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
	LAND FORCES			
20	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES	16,612	16,612	
30	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADES	486,531	486,531	
40	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS	105,446	105,446	
50	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT	516,791	511,191	- 5,600
60	AVIATION ASSETS	87,587	87,587	
	LAND FORCES READINESS			
70	FORCES READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT	348,601	354,601	+ 6,000
80	LAND FORCES SYSTEM READINESS	81,350	81,350	
90	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	59,574	91,974	+ 32,400
	LAND FORCES READINESS SUPPORT			
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	570,852	552,252	- 18,600
110	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	245,686	245,686	
120	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONS HEADQUARTERS	40,962	40,962	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	2,559,992	2,574,192	+ 14,200
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
	ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
130	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	10,665	10,665	
140	ADMINISTRATION	18,390	18,390	
150	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	14,976	14,976	
160	PERSONNEL/FINANCIAL ADMINISTRATION	8,841	8,841	
170	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	52,928	52,928	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	105,800	105,800	
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE	2,665,792	2,679,992	+ 14,200

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

(in thousands of dollars)

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
115	Land Forces Operations Support	516,791	511,191	- 5,600
	Budget documentation disparity: Contract services transfer not accounted for in budget documentation			- 5,600
121	Force Readiness Operations Support	348,601	354,601	+ 6,000
	Program increase: Cybersecurity training			+ 6,000

(In thousands of dollars)

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
123	Land Forces Depot Maintenance	59,574	91,974	+ 32,400
	Authorization adjustment: Readiness funding increase			+ 32,400
131	Base Operations Support	570,852	552,252	- 18,600
	Budget documentation disparity: Justification does not match summary of price and program changes			- 13,000
	Improving funds management: Fiscal year 2015 Sec 9018 financing			- 5,600

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE

Appropriations, 2015 \$1,021,200,000
 Budget estimate, 2016 1,001,758,000
 Committee recommendation 998,758,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$998,758,000.
 This is \$3,000,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

(In thousands of dollars)

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
	RESERVE AIR OPERATIONS			
10	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS	563,722	563,722	
20	INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE	6,218	6,218	
40	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE	82,712	79,712	- 3,000
50	AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	326	326	
60	AVIATION LOGISTICS	13,436	13,436	
	RESERVE SHIP OPERATIONS			
70	SHIP OPERATIONAL SUPPORT AND TRAINING	557	557	
	RESERVE COMBAT OPERATIONS SUPPORT			
90	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS	14,499	14,499	
100	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES	117,601	117,601	
	RESERVE WEAPONS SUPPORT			
120	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	29,382	29,382	
	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT			
130	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	48,513	48,513	
140	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	102,858	102,858	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	979,824	976,824	- 3,000
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
	ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
150	ADMINISTRATION	1,505	1,505	
160	MILITARY MANPOWER & PERSONNEL	13,782	13,782	
170	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	3,437	3,437	
180	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	3,210	3,210	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	21,934	21,934	
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE	1,001,758	998,758	- 3,000

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

(In thousands of dollars)

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1A5A	Aircraft Depot Maintenance	82,712	79,712	- 3,000
	Budget documentation disparity: Removal of fiscal year 2015 baseline for terminated program			- 3,000

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE

Appropriations, 2015	\$270,846,000
Budget estimate, 2016	277,036,000
Committee recommendation	277,036,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$277,036,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

(In thousands of dollars)

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
	EXPEDITIONARY FORCES			
10	OPERATING FORCES	97,631	97,631	
20	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	18,254	18,254	
30	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	28,653	28,653	
40	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	111,923	111,923	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	256,461	256,461	
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
	ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
50	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	924	924	
60	ADMINISTRATION	10,866	10,866	
70	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	8,785	8,785	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	20,575	20,575	
	TOTAL, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE	277,036	277,036	

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE

Appropriations, 2015	\$3,026,342,000
Budget estimate, 2016	3,064,257,000
Committee recommendation	3,056,357,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$3,056,357,000. This is \$7,900,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

[In thousands of dollars]

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
	AIR OPERATIONS			
10	PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES	1,779,378	1,779,378
20	MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS	226,243	218,843	- 7,400
30	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	487,036	487,036
40	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	109,342	109,342
50	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	373,707	370,707	- 3,000
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	2,975,706	2,965,306	- 10,400
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
	ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
60	ADMINISTRATION	53,921	53,921
70	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	14,359	14,359
80	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT	13,665	13,665
90	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT	6,606	6,606
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	88,551	88,551
	RESTORE A-10		2,500	+ 2,500
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE	3,064,257	3,056,357	- 7,900

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

(In thousands of dollars)

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
011G	Mission Support Operations	226,243	218,843	-7,400
	Maintain program affordability: Reduced program support unaccounted for			-1,400
	Budget documentation disparity: Justification does not match summary of price and program changes for civilian pay			-6,000
011Z	Base Support	373,707	370,707	-3,000
	Budget documentation disparity: Transfer for support standard not accounted for			-3,000
UNDIST	Authorization adjustment: Restore A-10		2,500	+2,500

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD

Appropriations, 2015 \$6,175,951,000
 Budget estimate, 2016 6,717,977,000
 Committee recommendation 6,746,302,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$6,746,302,000. This is \$28,325,000 above the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

(In thousands of dollars)

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
	LAND FORCES			
10	MANEUVER UNITS	709,433	709,433	
20	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES	167,324	167,324	
30	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE	741,327	741,327	
40	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS	88,775	96,475	+7,700
50	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT	32,130	32,130	
60	AVIATION ASSETS	943,609	996,209	+52,600
	LAND FORCES READINESS			
70	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT	703,137	712,537	+9,400
80	LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS	84,066	84,066	
90	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE	166,848	189,348	+22,500
	LAND FORCES READINESS SUPPORT			
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	1,022,970	998,970	-24,000
110	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	673,680	673,680	
120	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HEADQUARTERS	954,574	954,574	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	6,287,873	6,356,073	+68,200
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
	ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
130	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	6,570	6,570	
140	ADMINISTRATION	59,629	59,629	
150	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	68,452	68,452	

(In thousands of dollars)

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
160	MANPOWER MANAGEMENT	8,841	8,841
170	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	283,670	283,670
180	REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT	2,942	2,942
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	430,104	430,104
	SERVICE SUPPORT CONTRACTOR REDUCTION		- 40,000	- 40,000
	FINANCIAL LITERACY TRAINING		125	+ 125
	TOTAL, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD	6,717,977	6,746,302	+ 28,325

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

(In thousands of dollars)

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
114	Theater Level Assets	88,775	96,475	+ 7,700
	Authorization adjustment: Army National Guard's Operation Phalanx			+ 7,700
116	Aviation Assets	943,609	996,209	+ 52,600
	Authorization adjustment: Readiness funding increase			+ 39,600
	Authorization adjustment: Army National Guard's Operation Phalanx			+ 13,000
121	Force Readiness Operations Support	703,137	712,537	+ 9,400
	Program increase: Army National Guard Cyber Protection Teams			+ 9,400
123	Land Forces Depot Maintenance	166,848	189,348	+ 22,500
	Authorization adjustment: Readiness funding increase			+ 22,500
131	Base Operations Support	1,022,970	998,970	- 24,000
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth			- 10,000
	Budget documentation disparity: Justification does not match summary of price and program changes			- 14,000
UNDIST	Maintain program affordability: Service support contracts		- 40,000	- 40,000
UNDIST	Authorization adjustment: Financial literacy training		125	+ 125

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD

Appropriations, 2015	\$6,408,558,000
Budget estimate, 2016	6,956,210,000
Committee recommendation	6,951,010,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$6,951,010,000. This is \$5,200,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

(In thousands of dollars)

	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
	AIR OPERATIONS			
10	AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS	3,526,471	3,506,471	- 20,000
20	MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS	740,779	743,379	+ 2,600
30	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	1,763,859	1,763,859	
40	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	288,786	288,786	
50	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	582,037	582,037	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	6,901,932	6,884,532	- 17,400
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
	SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
60	ADMINISTRATION	23,626	23,626	
70	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	30,652	30,652	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	54,278	54,278	
	UNJUSTIFIED GROWTH		- 30,000	- 30,000
	RESTORE A-10		42,200	+ 42,200
	TOTAL, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD	6,956,210	6,951,010	- 5,200

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

(In thousands of dollars)

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
011F	Aircraft Operations	3,526,471	3,506,471	- 20,000
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth			- 20,000
011G	Mission Support Operations	740,779	743,379	+ 2,600
	Authorization adjustment: Air National Guard's Operation Phalanx			+ 2,600
UNDIST	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth		- 30,000	- 30,000
UNDIST	Authorization adjustment: Restore A-10		42,200	+ 42,200

U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ARMED FORCES

Appropriations, 2015	\$13,723,000
Budget estimate, 2016	14,078,000
Committee recommendation	14,078,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$14,078,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, ARMY

Appropriations, 2015	\$201,560,000
Budget estimate, 2016	234,829,000
Committee recommendation	234,829,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$234,829,000. This is equal to the budget estimate.

ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, NAVY

Appropriations, 2015	\$277,294,000
Budget estimate, 2016	292,453,000
Committee recommendation	292,453,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$292,453,000.
This is equal to the budget estimate.

ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, AIR FORCE

Appropriations, 2015	\$408,716,000
Budget estimate, 2016	368,131,000
Committee recommendation	368,131,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$368,131,000.
This is equal to the budget estimate.

ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, DEFENSE-WIDE

Appropriations, 2015	\$8,547,000
Budget estimate, 2016	8,232,000
Committee recommendation	8,232,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$8,232,000. This
is equal to the budget estimate.

ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, FORMERLY USED DEFENSE SITES

Appropriations, 2015	\$250,853,000
Budget estimate, 2016	203,717,000
Committee recommendation	233,717,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$233,717,000.
This is \$30,000,000 above the budget estimate to help address unfunded needs.

OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN, DISASTER, AND CIVIC AID

Appropriations, 2015	\$103,000,000
Budget estimate, 2016	100,266,000
Committee recommendation	100,266,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$100,266,000.
This is equal to the budget estimate.

COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION ACCOUNT

Appropriations, 2015	\$365,108,000
Budget estimate, 2016	358,496,000
Committee recommendation	358,496,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$358,496,000.
This is equal to the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

(In thousands of dollars)

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
	COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION			
1	Strategic Offensive Arms Elimination	1,289	1,289	
2	Chemical Weapons Destruction	942	942	
3	Biological Threat Reduction	264,618	264,618	
4	Threat Reduction Engagement	2,827	2,827	
5	Other Assessments/Admin Costs	29,320	29,320	
6	Global Nuclear Security	20,555	20,555	
7	WMD Proliferation Prevention	38,945	38,945	
	TOTAL, COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION	358,496	358,496	

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ACQUISITION WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT
FUND

Appropriations, 2015	\$83,034,000
Budget estimate, 2016	84,140,000
Committee recommendation	84,140,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$84,140,000.
This is equal to the budget estimate.

TITLE III PROCUREMENT

Funds appropriated under this title provide the resources required to purchase military equipment and hardware, including aircraft, ships, missiles, combat vehicles, ammunition, weapons, electronic sensors and communications equipment, and other procurement items.

The President's fiscal year 2016 budget requests a total of \$106,914,372,000 for procurement appropriations.

SUMMARY OF COMMITTEE ACTION

The Committee recommends procurement appropriations totaling \$109,813,734,000 for fiscal year 2016. This is \$2,899,362,000 above the budget estimate.

Committee recommended procurement appropriations for fiscal year 2016 are summarized below:

SUMMARY OF PROCUREMENT APPROPRIATIONS

(In thousands of dollars)

Account	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
Procurement:			
Aircraft Procurement, Army	5,689,357	5,465,751	- 223,606
Missile Procurement, Army	1,419,957	1,567,167	+ 247,210
Procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army	1,887,073	1,914,446	+ 27,373
Procurement of Ammunition, Army	1,233,378	1,247,426	+ 14,048
Other Procurement, Army	5,899,028	5,648,874	- 250,154
Aircraft Procurement, Navy	16,126,405	17,392,174	+ 1,265,769
Weapons Procurement, Navy	3,154,154	3,172,822	+ 18,668
Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps	723,741	728,741	+ 5,000
Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy	16,597,457	18,176,362	+ 1,578,905
Other Procurement, Navy	6,614,715	6,329,750	- 284,965
Procurement, Marine Corps	1,131,418	1,239,618	+ 108,200
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force	15,657,769	15,890,661	+ 232,892
Missile Procurement, Air Force	2,987,045	2,965,869	- 21,176
Space Procurement, Air Force	2,584,061	2,891,159	+ 307,098
Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force	1,758,843	1,797,343	+ 38,500
Other Procurement, Air Force	18,272,438	17,997,359	- 275,079
Procurement, Defense-Wide	5,130,853	5,211,532	+ 80,679
Defense Production Act Purchases	46,680	76,680	+ 30,000
Total:	106,914,372	109,813,734	+ 2,899,362

REPROGRAMMING GUIDANCE FOR ACQUISITION ACCOUNTS

The Secretary of Defense is directed to continue to follow the reprogramming guidance as specified in the report accompanying the House version of the Department of Defense appropriations bill for fiscal year 2008 (House Report 110-279). Specifically, the dollar threshold for reprogramming funds will remain at \$20,000,000 for procurement and \$10,000,000 for research, development, test and evaluation.

Also, the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) is directed to continue to provide the congressional defense committees quarterly, spreadsheet-based DD Form 1416 reports for service and defense-

wide accounts in titles III and IV of this act. Reports for titles III and IV shall comply with guidance specified in the explanatory statement accompanying the Department of Defense Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 2006. The Department shall continue to follow the limitation that prior approval reprogrammings are set at either the specified dollar threshold or 20 percent of the procurement or research, development, test and evaluation line, whichever is less. These thresholds are cumulative from the base for reprogramming value as modified by any adjustments. Therefore, if the combined value of transfers into or out of a procurement (P-1), or a research, development, test and evaluation (R-1) line exceeds the identified threshold, the Secretary of Defense must submit a prior approval reprogramming to the congressional defense committees. In addition, guidelines on the application of prior approval reprogramming procedures for congressional special interest items are established elsewhere in this report.

PROCUREMENT OVERVIEW

Airspace Compliance.—In order for Department of Defense aircraft to operate in the both the Federal Aviation Administration-controlled airspace and International Civil Aviation Organization-controlled airspace after January 1, 2020, the Department must add appropriate equipment to all current and future aviation platforms projected to operate in those airspaces. Due to various delays, the Department has indicated that many aircraft will not meet the mandated compliance date and will need waivers to operate in controlled airspace. The Committee believes that had the Department taken a centralized role in the oversight of airspace compliance and monitored the progress of each fleet towards meeting the mandate, the services would have developed solutions in a more expedient manner and fewer waivers would have been necessary. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to report to the congressional defense committees, not later than 180 days after enactment of this act, (1) each aircraft type, by service, required to comply with the mandates, (2) the cost associated with making the aircraft compliant, (3) the projected compliance date for each aircraft and (4) the projected date to obtain waivers for each aircraft, if necessary. The Committee expects the Secretary of Defense to take a more proactive role in ensuring that United States military aircraft are approved to operate in controlled airspace past January 1, 2020.

Army Organic Industrial Base.—The Committee directs the Secretary of the Army to provide 45-day written notification to the congressional defense committees prior to the Secretary approving civilian reductions in force that will result in an employment loss of 50 or more full-time employees at any Army organic industrial base facility. The notification shall include the impact that the proposed reduction in force will have on the ability to maintain the organic industrial base critical manufacturing capabilities as delineated in the Army Organic Industrial Base Strategy Report, a detailed accounting of the costs of implementing the reduction in force, and an assessment of the cost of, and time necessary, to restore any lost capability to meet future organic wartime manufacturing needs.

Arsenal Sustainment Initiative.—The Committee supports the ongoing efforts of the Department of the Army to develop the Army Organic Industrial Base Strategy. This process is identifying manufacturing capabilities at each organic industrial facility that are critical for the country to sustain in wartime and peacetime if the U.S. military is called to act. However, the Committee is concerned that while the Army Organic Industrial Base Strategy is identifying capabilities, it has not prioritized them in annual budget requests to Congress. In particular, the Nation's arsenals are at risk of not having the capacity to respond rapidly to meet the Department's needs. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Army to assign the arsenals sufficient workload to maintain the critical capabilities identified in the Army Organic Industrial Base Strategy Report and ensure cost efficiency and technical competence in peacetime, while preserving the ability to provide an effective and timely response to mobilizations, national defense contingency situations, and other emergency requirements.

Further, the Committee is aware that while the Army's manufacturing arsenals have provided critical wartime needs to all the services, they are not always considered by the other services for the work opportunities which help sustain them in peacetime. Given the arsenals' unique capabilities, the Committee looks forward to receiving the Secretary of Defense's report detailing recommendations on how the Air Force, Navy and Marine Corps can better use the arsenals for their manufacturing needs. Noting that fulfilling spare parts needs are an important part of our organic industrial base, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to also report to the congressional defense committees not later than 90 days after enactment of this act assessing what opportunities may exist for the arsenals to assist the services and the Defense Logistics Agency to procure for our spare parts inventory.

Hellfire Missiles.—The Committee notes that the Department requested authority in February 2015 to reprogram funding to align Hellfire missile inventories with operational and organizational requirements. The reprogramming request followed similar requests in each of the four prior fiscal years, indicating that Hellfire missile inventories could be more effectively managed across and between the services. The Committee directs the Director of Cost Analysis and Program Evaluation to review short-range air-to-ground missile requirements, Hellfire inventory requirements, Hellfire inventory management processes and practices, and current and prospective Hellfire operational demand and submit to the congressional defense committees, not later than 180 days after enactment of this act, recommendations for jointly optimizing the management of Hellfire missiles, or that of a substantively similar capability, across the four services.

Physical Access Control Systems.—The Committee remains concerned with the challenges the Department of Defense continues to face with the efficacy of physical access control systems and notes that it is yet to receive a report as required by Senate Report 113-211. Last year's report requested that the Secretaries of the Army, Navy, and Air Force perform a business case analysis that examines the development, procurement, and sustainment cost of existing physical access control systems compared to the cost of physical

access control systems available commercially. The Secretaries shall provide the report to the congressional defense committees summarizing the outcome of this business case analysis and actions they plan to take to implement the most affordable solution not later than 180 days after enactment of this act.

AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY

Appropriations, 2015	\$5,216,225,000
Budget estimate, 2016	5,689,357,000
Committee recommendation	5,465,751,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$5,465,751,000.
This is \$223,606,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

(Dollars in thousands)

	Item	Qty.	2016 budget estimate	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from	
						Qty.	Budget estimate
	AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY						
	AIRCRAFT						
	FIXED WING						
2	UTILITY F/W CARGO AIRCRAFT		879		879		
4	MQ-1 UAV	15	260,436	15	199,636		- 60,800
	ROTARY						
6	HELICOPTER, LIGHT UTILITY (LUH)	28	187,177	28	187,177		
7	AH-64 APACHE BLOCK IIA REMAN	64	1,168,461	64	1,138,461		- 30,000
8	AH-64 APACHE BLOCK IIA REMAN [AP-CY]		209,930		209,930		
11	UH-60 BLACKHAWK [MYP]	94	1,435,945	94	1,435,945		
12	UH-60 BLACKHAWK [MYP] [AP-CY]		127,079		127,079		
13	UH-60 BLACKHAWK A AND L MODELS	40	46,641	40	46,641		
14	CH-47 HELICOPTER	39	1,024,587	39	931,398		- 93,189
15	CH-47 HELICOPTER [AP-CY]		99,344		99,344		
	TOTAL, AIRCRAFT		4,560,479		4,376,490		- 183,989
	MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT						
16	MQ-1 PAYLOAD—UAS		97,543		91,653		- 5,890
19	MULTI SENSOR ABN RECON [MIP]		95,725		68,500		- 27,225
20	AH-64 MODS		116,153		116,153		
21	CH-47 CARGO HELICOPTER MODS		86,330		73,130		- 13,200
22	GRCS SEMA MODS [MIP]		4,019		4,019		
23	ARL SEMA MODS [MIP]		16,302		10,800		- 5,502
24	EMARSS SEMA MODS [MIP]		13,669		13,669		
25	UTILITY/CARGO AIRPLANE MODS		16,166		16,166		
26	UTILITY HELICOPTER MODS		13,793		13,793		
28	NETWORK AND MISSION PLAN		112,807		105,807		- 7,000
29	COMMS, NAV SURVEILLANCE		82,904		82,904		
30	GATM ROLLUP		33,890		33,890		
31	RQ-7 UAV MODS		81,444		81,444		
	TOTAL, MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT		770,745		711,928		- 58,817

(Dollars in thousands)

	Item	Qty.	2016 budget estimate	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from	
						Qty.	Budget estimate
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES						
	GROUND SUPPORT AVIONICS						
32	AIRCRAFT SURVIVABILITY EQUIPMENT		56,215		56,215		
33	SURVIVABILITY CM		8,917		8,917		
34	CMWS		78,348		104,348		+ 26,000
	OTHER SUPPORT						
35	AVIONICS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		6,937		6,937		
36	COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT		64,867		58,067		- 6,800
37	AIRCREW INTEGRATED SYSTEMS		44,085		44,085		
38	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL		94,545		94,545		
39	INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES		1,207		1,207		
40	LAUNCHER, 2.75 ROCKET		3,012		3,012		
	TOTAL, SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES		358,133		377,333		+ 19,200
	TOTAL, AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY		5,689,357		5,465,751		- 223,606

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

[In thousands of dollars]

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
4	MQ-1 UAV	260,436	199,636	-60,800
	Restoring acquisition accountability: ICS growth			-7,800
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth			-53,000
7	AH-64 Apache Block IIA Reman	1,168,461	1,138,461	-30,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Program management growth and forward financing			-10,000
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover			-20,000
14	CH-47 Helicopter	1,024,587	931,398	-93,189
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Miscellaneous request and forward financing			-93,189
16	MQ-1 Payload [MIP]	97,543	91,653	-5,890
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Test and evaluation unjustified request			-5,890
19	Multi Sensor ABN Recon [MIP]	95,725	68,500	-27,225
	Budget documentation disparity: Poor justification materials			-27,225
21	CH-47 Cargo Helicopter Mods [MYP]	86,330	73,130	-13,200
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Systems optimization and payload buyback early to need			-17,200
	Program increase			+4,000
23	ARL SEMA Mods [MIP]	16,302	10,800	-5,502
	Budget documentation disparity: Poor justification materials			-5,502
28	Network and Mission Plan	112,807	105,807	-7,000
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover			-7,000
34	CMWS	78,348	104,348	+26,000
	Authorization adjustment: Apache survivability equipment—Army UFR			+26,000
36	Common Ground Equipment	64,867	58,867	-6,800
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover			-9,000
	Program increase: Corrosion mitigation covers			+2,200

MQ-1 Unmanned Aerial Vehicle [UAV].—The fiscal year 2016 President's budget request includes \$276,973,000 in base and Overseas Contingency Operations funding to procure 17 additional MQ-1 UAVs, which is 17 aircraft above the Army validated requirement. The Committee is concerned with the small subset of the total Army MQ-1 UAVs providing intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance [ISR] for the combatant commanders. The Army's justification for additional aircraft in the fiscal year 2016 request is to support the increase in Combat Air Patrols [CAPs] requested by the Department of Defense. The Committee supports the request to procure the additional aircraft, but reduces the funding by \$60,800,000 due to unit cost efficiencies. Additionally, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to do a complete review of the required CAPs to meet Combatant Commanders requirements, identify the mix of ISR aircraft across the Air Force, Army and Special Operations Command to meet such requirements, and provide a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 180 days after enactment of this act.

CH-47F Cargo Helicopter Modifications.—The Committee understands that the Department of the Army is developing technologies that are common between the Special Operations Command MH-

47G Chinook helicopter and Army CH-47F Block II helicopter in areas such as fuel system commonality. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Army to develop and field technologies that support this commonality.

Corrosion Mitigation Covers.—The Committee recognizes the importance of protecting critical aviation assets from corrosion and environmental degradation and supports the Department of the Army's efforts to address this concern through the use of corrosion mitigation covers. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Army to acquire corrosion mitigation covers to provide protection to key equipment assets to increase the mission capability of our critical weapons systems.

MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY

Appropriations, 2015	\$1,208,692,000
Budget estimate, 2016	1,419,957,000
Committee recommendation	1,667,167,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,667,167,000.
This is \$247,210,000 above the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

(Dollars in thousands)

	Item	Qty.	2016 budget estimate	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from	
						Qty.	Budget estimate
	MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY						
	OTHER MISSILES						
	SURFACE-TO-AIR MISSILE SYSTEM						
2	LOWER TIER AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE (AMD)		115,075		112,285		- 2,790
3	MSE MISSILE	80	414,946	80	614,946		+ 200,000
	AIR-TO-SURFACE MISSILE SYSTEM						
3	HELLFIRE SYS SUMMARY	113	27,975	113	27,975		
4	JOINT AIR-TO-GROUND MSLS (JAGM) (AP)		27,738		27,738		
	ANTI-TANK/ASSAULT MISSILE SYSTEM						
5	JAVELIN (AAWS-M) SYSTEM SUMMARY	331	77,163	615	127,163	+ 284	+ 50,000
6	TOW 2 SYSTEM SUMMARY	1,704	87,525	1,704	87,525		
8	GUIDED MLRS ROCKET (GMLRS)	1,668	251,060	1,668	251,060		
9	MLRS REDUCED RANGE PRACTICE ROCKETS (RRPR)	3,121	17,428	3,121	17,428		
	TOTAL, OTHER MISSILES		1,018,910		1,266,120		+ 247,210
	MODIFICATION OF MISSILES						
	MODIFICATIONS						
11	PATRIOT MODS		241,883		241,883		
12	ATACMS MODS		30,119		30,119		
13	GMLRS MOD		18,221		18,221		
14	STINGER MODS		2,216		2,216		
15	AVENGER MODS		6,171		6,171		
16	ITAS/TOW MODS		19,576		19,576		
17	MLRS MODS		35,970		35,970		
18	HIMARS MODIFICATIONS		3,148		3,148		
	TOTAL, MODIFICATION OF MISSILES		357,304		357,304		
	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS						
19	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		33,778		33,778		

June 9, 2015 (11:46 a.m.)

	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES				
20	AIR DEFENSE TARGETS	3,717	3,717		
21	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (MISSILES)	1,544	1,544		
22	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT	4,704	4,704		
	TOTAL, SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES	9,965	9,965		
	TOTAL, MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY	1,419,957	1,667,167		+ 247,210

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

(In thousands of dollars)

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1	Lower Tier Air and Missile Defense (AMD)	115,075	112,285	- 2,790
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unjustified growth			- 2,790
2	MSE Missile	414,946	614,946	+ 200,000
	Authorization adjustment: Patriot PAC 3 for improved BMD—Army UFR			+ 200,000
5	Javelin (AAWS-M) System Summary	77,163	127,163	+ 50,000
	Program increase: Additional missiles—Army UFR			+ 50,000

PROCUREMENT OF WEAPONS AND TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES,
ARMY

Appropriations, 2015	\$1,722,136,000
Budget estimate, 2016	1,887,073,000
Committee recommendation	1,914,446,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,914,446,000.
This is \$27,373,000 above the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

(Dollars in thousands)

	Item	Qty.	2016 budget estimate	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from	
						Qty.	Budget estimate
	PROCUREMENT OF W&TCV, ARMY						
1	TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES						
	STRYKER VEHICLE		181,245		177,345		- 3,900
	MODIFICATION OF TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES						
2	STRYKER (MOD)		74,085		74,085		
3	STRYKER UPGRADE	62	305,743	62	305,743		
5	BRADLEY PROGRAM (MOD)		225,042		200,042		- 25,000
6	HOWITZER, MED SP FT 155MM M109A6 (MOD)		60,079		56,879		- 3,200
7	PALADIN PFM MOD IN SERVICE	30	273,850	30	273,850		
8	IMPROVED RECOVERY VEHICLE (M88A2 HERCULES)	31	123,629	47	180,929	+ 16	+ 57,300
9	ASSAULT BRIDGE (MOD)		2,461		2,461		
10	ARMORED BREACHER VEHICLE	7	2,975	7	2,975		
11	M88 FOV MODS		14,878		14,878		
12	JOINT ASSAULT BRIDGE	4	33,455	4	33,455		
13	M1 ABRAMS TANK (MOD)		367,939		367,939		
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES						
15	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (TCV-WTCV)		6,479		6,129		- 350
	TOTAL, TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES		1,671,860		1,696,710		+ 24,850
	WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEHICLES						
16	MORTAR SYSTEMS		4,991		4,991		
17	XM320 GRENADE LAUNCHER MODULE (GLM)		26,294		26,294		
18	PRECISION SNIPER RIFLE		1,984				- 1,984
19	COMPACT SEMI-AUTOMATIC SNIPER SYSTEM		1,488				- 1,488
20	CARBINE		34,460		31,260		- 3,200
21	COMMON REMOTELY OPERATED WEAPONS STATION		8,367		14,750		+ 6,383
22	HANDGUN		5,417				- 5,417
	MOD OF WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEH						
23	MK-19 GRENADE MACHINE GUN MODS		2,777				- 2,777
24	M777 MODS		10,070		10,070		
25	M4 CARBINE MODS		27,566		27,566		
26	M2 50 CAL MACHINE GUN MODS		44,004		44,004		

June 9, 2015 (11:46 a.m.)

27	M249 SAW MACHINE GUN MODS	1,190	1,190	
28	M240 MEDIUM MACHINE GUN MODS	1,424	11,424	+ 10,000
29	SNIPER RIFLES MODIFICATIONS	2,431	980	- 1,451
30	M119 MODIFICATIONS	20,599	20,599	
32	MORTAR MODIFICATION	6,300	6,300	
33	MODIFICATIONS LESS THAN \$5.0M (WOCV-WTCV)	3,737	3,737	
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES			
34	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (WOCV-WTCV)	391	2,848	+ 2,457
35	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (WOCV-WTCV)	9,027	9,027	
36	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS	304	304	
37	SMALL ARMS EQUIPMENT (SOLDIER ENH PROG)	2,392	2,392	
	TOTAL, WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEHICLES	215,213	217,736	+ 2,523
	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF W&TCV, ARMY	1,887,073	1,914,446	+ 27,373

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

(in thousands of dollars)

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
1	Stryker Vehicle	181,245	177,345	- 3,900
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth— program management funding			- 3,900
5	Bradley Program (MOD)	225,042	200,042	- 25,000
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover			- 25,000
6	Howitzer, Med Sp Ft 155mm M109a6 (MOD)	60,079	56,879	- 3,200
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth			- 3,200
8	Improved Recovery Vehicle (M88A2 Hercules)	123,629	180,929	+ 57,300
	Authorization adjustment: 16 M88A2's to support mod of ABCTs and industrial base			+ 72,000
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Contractor engi- neering early to need			- 13,200
	Maintain program affordability: Unjustified growth— program management funding			- 1,500
15	Production Base Support (TCV-WTCV)	6,479	6,129	- 350
	Improving funds management: Prior year carryover			- 350
18	Precision Sniper Rifle	1,984		- 1,984
	Transfer: Army-requested to WTCV lines 21 and 34 and RDTE line 83			- 1,984
19	Compact Semi-Automatic Sniper System	1,488		- 1,488
	Transfer: Army-requested to WTCV lines 21 and 34 and RDTE line 83			- 1,488
20	Carbine	34,460	31,260	- 3,200
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unit cost growth			- 3,200
21	Common Remotely Operated Weapons Station	8,367	14,750	+ 6,383
	Transfer CROWS conversions: Army-requested from WTCV lines 18, 19, 22, and 29			+ 6,383
22	Handgun	5,417		- 5,417
	Transfer: Army-requested to WTCV lines 21 and 34 and RDTE line 83			- 5,417
23	MK-19 Grenade Machine Gun Mods	2,777		- 2,777
	Restoring acquisition accountability: Unclear require- ments			- 2,777
28	M240 Medium Machine Gun Mods	1,424	11,424	+ 10,000
	Program increase			+ 10,000
29	Sniper Rifles Modifications	2,431	980	- 1,451
	Improving funds management: Excess to need			- 1,451
34	Items Less Than \$5.0m (WOCV-WTCV)	391	2,848	+ 2,457
	Transfer for nonstandard weapons: Army-requested for WTCV lines 18, 19, 22, and 29			+ 2,457

Stryker Lethality Upgrades.—The Committee understands that the Army is evaluating various courses of action to address the operational needs statement for increased Stryker lethality of the 2nd Cavalry Regiment, U.S. Army Europe. As the courses of action materialize and the tradeoffs between the schedule of fielding the first upgraded units and the risk in the acquisition schedule are properly balanced, the Committee is open to considering re-programming requests that address the required funding.

MK-19 Grenade Machine Gun Modifications.—The fiscal year 2016 President's budget request includes \$2,777,000 for MK-19 40mm grenade machine gun launchers to improve the lethality and accuracy of the weapon. The MK-19 has been a reliable and effective weapon for many years, including extensive operational use in Iraq and Afghanistan. However, the Committee is concerned with

the Army's plan to upgrade the weapon. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Army to submit a report to congressional defense committees, not later than 180 days after enactment of this act, that addresses the feasibility of utilizing commercial, off-the-shelf technologies to upgrade the MK-19 and validates the requirement for the improved components of the MOD 5 kits.

Handgun.—The fiscal year 2016 President's budget request includes \$5,417,000 to support the procurement of modular handgun systems. The Committee is concerned that the Army is rushing to pursue a new acquisition program to replace the M9 pistol before adequately exploring other cost-effective solutions to meet the Army's handgun requirements. Based on the Army's transfer request, the Committee does not provide funding for modular handgun systems in fiscal year 2016.

PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY

Appropriations, 2015	\$1,015,477,000
Budget estimate, 2016	1,233,378,000
Committee recommendation	1,247,426,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,247,426,000.
This is \$14,048,000 above the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

June 9, 2015 (11:46 a.m.)

(Dollars in thousands)

	Item	Qty.	2016 budget estimate	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from	
						Qty.	Budget estimate
	PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY						
	AMMUNITION						
	SMALL/MEDIUM CAL AMMUNITION						
1	CTG, 5.56MM, ALL TYPES		43,489		43,489		
2	CTG, 7.62MM, ALL TYPES		40,715		40,715		
3	CTG, HANDGUN, ALL TYPES		7,753		6,801		- 952
4	CTG, .50 CAL, ALL TYPES		24,728		24,728		
5	CTG, 25MM, ALL TYPES		8,305		8,305		
6	CTG, 30MM, ALL TYPES		34,330		34,330		
7	CTG, 40MM, ALL TYPES		79,972		79,972		
	MORTAR AMMUNITION						
8	60MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES		42,898		42,898		
9	81MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES		43,500		43,500		
10	120MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES		64,372		64,372		
	TANK AMMUNITION						
11	CTG TANK 105MM AND 120MM: ALL TYPES		105,541		105,541		
	ARTILLERY AMMUNITION						
12	CTG, ARTY, 75MM AND 105MM: ALL TYPES		57,756		57,756		
13	ARTILLERY PROJECTILE, 155MM, ALL TYPES		77,995		77,995		
14	PROJ 155MM EXTENDED RANGE XM982		45,518		60,518		+ 15,000
15	ARTILLERY PROPELLANTS, FUZES AND PRIMERS, ALL TYPES		78,024		78,024		
	ROCKETS						
16	SHOULDER LAUNCHED MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES		7,500		7,500		
17	ROCKET, HYDRA 70, ALL TYPES		33,653		33,653		
	OTHER AMMUNITION						
18	CAD/PAD ALL TYPES		5,639		5,639		
19	DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES		9,751		9,751		
20	GRENADES, ALL TYPES		19,993		19,993		
21	SIGNALS, ALL TYPES		9,761		9,761		
22	SIMULATORS, ALL TYPES		9,749		9,749		

(Dollars in thousands)

	Item	Qty.	2016 budget estimate	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from	
						Qty.	Budget estimate
	MISCELLANEOUS						
23	AMMO COMPONENTS, ALL TYPES		3,521		3,521		
24	NON-LETHAL AMMUNITION, ALL TYPES		1,700		1,700		
25	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION		6,181		6,181		
26	AMMUNITION PECULIAR EQUIPMENT		17,811		17,811		
27	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION (AMMO)		14,695		14,695		
	TOTAL, AMMUNITION		894,850		908,898		+ 14,048
	AMMUNITION PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT						
	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT						
29	PROVISION OF INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES		221,703		221,703		
30	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS DEMILITARIZATION, ALL		113,250		113,250		
31	ARMS INITIATIVE		3,575		3,575		
	TOTAL, AMMUNITION PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT		338,528		338,528		
	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY		1,233,378		1,247,426		+ 14,048

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS

The following table details the adjustments recommended by the Committee:

(In thousands of dollars)

Line	Item	2016 budget estimate	Committee recommendation	Change from budget estimate
3	CTG, Handgun, All Types	7,753	6,801	- 952
	Transfer CROWS: Army-requested to ROTE, Army line 83			- 952
14	Proj 155mm Extended Range M982	45,518	60,518	+ 15,000
	Program increase			+ 15,000

OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY

Appropriations, 2015	\$4,747,523,000
Budget estimate, 2016	5,899,028,000
Committee recommendation	5,648,874,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$5,648,874,000.
This is \$250,154,000 below the budget estimate.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED PROGRAM

The following table summarizes the budget estimate for this appropriation, the Committee recommendation, and the Committee recommended adjustments to the budget estimate:

(Dollars in thousands)

	Item	Qty.	2015 budget estimate	Qty.	Committee recommendation	Change from	
						Qty.	Budget estimate
	OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY						
	TACTICAL AND SUPPORT VEHICLES						
	TACTICAL VEHICLES						
1	TACTICAL TRAILERS/DOLLY SETS		12,855		12,855		
2	SEMITRAILERS, FLATBED:		53		53		
3	FAMILY OF MEDIUM TACTICAL VEH [FMTV]	166	90,040	166	90,040		
4	JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE	450	308,336	450	308,336		
6	FIRETRUCKS & ASSOCIATED FIREFIGHTING EQUIPMEN		8,444		8,444		
7	FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES [FHTV]	273	27,549	273	27,549		
8	PLS ESP		127,102		127,102		
10	TACTICAL WHEELED VEHICLE PROTECTION KITS		48,292		48,292		
11	MODIFICATION OF IN SVC EQUIP		130,993		130,993		
12	MINE-RESISTANT AMBUSH-PROTECTED MODS		19,146		19,146		
	NON-TACTICAL VEHICLES						
14	PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES		1,248		1,248		
15	NONTACTICAL VEHICLES, OTHER		9,614		9,614		
	TOTAL, TACTICAL AND SUPPORT VEHICLES		783,672		783,672		
	COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT						
	COMM—JOINT COMMUNICATIONS						
16	WIN-T—GROUND FORCES TACTICAL NETWORK		783,116		643,370		— 139,746
17	SIGNAL MODERNIZATION PROGRAM		49,898		49,898		
18	JOINT INCIDENT SITE COMMUNICATIONS CAPABILITY		4,062		4,062		
19	JCSE EQUIPMENT (USREDCOM)		5,008		5,008		
	COMM—SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS						
20	DEFENSE ENTERPRISE WIDEBAND SATCOM SYSTEMS		196,306		196,306		
21	TRANSPORTABLE TACTICAL COMMAND COMMUNICATIONS		44,998		44,998		
22	SHF TERM		7,629		7,629		
23	NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (SPACE)		14,027		14,027		
24	SMART-T (SPACE)		13,453		13,453		
25	GLOBAL BRDCST SVC-GBS		6,265		6,265		